STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF MACOMB

KARLA ONDRUS as the Guardian and Conservator for ANDY ONDRUS, a protected Person, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs

Case No. 08-004408-NF Hon: Peter J. Maceroni

CITIZENS INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

JAMES McKENNA (P41587) Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 24825 Little Mack St. Clair Shores, MI 48080

(586) 779-7810

PAUL A. ZEBROWSKI (P44427) THOMAS A. BISCUP (P40380) Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 45581 Village Blvd Shelby Township, MI 48315 (586) 566-7266

LORI MCALLISTER (P39501) Co-Counsel for Defendant 201 Townsend Street, Suite 900 Lansing, MI 48933 (517) 374-9150

ROBERT S. HUTH, JR. (P42531) Co-Counsel for Defendant 19500 Hall Road, Suite 100 Clinton Township, MI 48038 (586) 412-4900

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION BASED ON DEPOSITION OF AMY LUNA

On May 18, 2009, this Court held a hearing on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel and Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification. During the argument on the motion to compel, the Court suggested that Plaintiff take the deposition of Amy Luna. The Order entered by the Court required Defendant to produce Amy Luna for deposition. As it turns out, this Court's suggestion was a good one. Ms. Luna supports the basis for Class Certification.

On June 1, 2009, Plaintiff began the deposition of Amy Luna. Ms. Luna's deposition will be continued.

Ms. Luna oversees all PIP adjusters in the state of Michigan. Ms. Luna's deposition contains significant admissions regarding the class certification motion pending before the Court. Plaintiff submits the transcript of the first day of Ms. Luna's deposition for the Court's consideration regarding class certification. A copy of the transcript, with exhibits, is attached as **Exhibit A**.

The following are some brief highlights of significant testimony provided by Ms. Luna, although this list is not exhaustive.

- 1. Citizens adopted a flat rate for payment of medical mileage. Exhibit A, at 48, 50.
- Citizens would pay the flat rate for medical mileage to those individuals for whom
 the foundational requirements of an injury arising out of the use of a motor vehicle
 and mileage incurred for reasonably necessary services. Exhibit A, at 48, 50.
- Citizens adopted the IRS medical and moving rate as the standard rate for payment of medical mileage to its insureds. Exhibit A, at 53.
- 4. Citizens sent its standard form letter, entitled "Medical Mileage Information," (bates no. 05950), to all its insureds. Exhibit A, at 67.
- Citizens intended that its insured rely on the standard form letter. Exhibit A, at 56,
 79-81.
- 6. Standard form letter 05950 can be misleading, by suggesting that there is a No-Fault Act guideline that requires payment of .20 or .27 cents per mile, when no such guideline exists. **Exhibit A**, at 76-77, 81-82.
- 7. Ms. Luna is going to recommend that the language of the letter be changed. ExhibitA, at 77.
- 8. Citizens is following the same policy today as that adopted in January 2007 with respect to payment of medical mileage. **Exhibit A**, at 51.

¹The standard form letter 05950 is attached as Exhibit B to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification.

- 9. Standard form letter 05950 can be misleading, because it nowhere indicates that an insured may be entitled to more than the .20 or .27 cents per mile or how to obtain additional amounts, but states that is all that the insured is going to get. **Exhibit A**, at 89, 91.
- 10. Citizens has another standard form letter (bates no. 05953)² that does not go out to all insureds, but only goes out if an insured complains about the standard rate that Citizens is paying. **Exhibit A**, at 67-68.
- 11. The form letter that does not go out to all insureds (05953) includes the following sentence that is **not** contained in the letter that does go to all insureds: "If you have additional information to support a greater cost associated with your medical mileage, please submit proofs for further consideration."
- 12. The exclusion of the quoted sentence from the form letter to all insureds (05950) is ambiguous and misleading. **Exhibit A**, at 89-90.

Virtually every policy holder or individual covered under the policy who submitted for mileage reimbursement was affected by this policy of intentional mileage underpayment and deception/misrepresentation.

These are some of more salient points from the first day of Ms. Luna's deposition. Review of the entire deposition is appropriate. What is clear is that Citizens adopted the lower medical and moving rate and communicated that rate to all insureds across the board as the rate to which they

²This document is Exhibit 3 to the deposition of Amy Luna and was produced to Plaintiff for the first time at the deposition.

³Thus, contrary to the position taken by Citizens in opposing class certification, Citizens did not inform all insureds that they were entitled to seek a higher amount. If there were any insureds who received the second letter, those insureds would be part of a sub-class or excluded from the class to the extent they received a rate commensurate with the IRS business rate.

were entitled. Citizens held back information and only provided it if an individual complained. Even then, the information was not accurate.

Ms. Luna's deposition confirms that class certification on the breach of contract issue is proper, as the only issue is what is a reasonable rate per mile for medical mileage (all the other prerequisites under the No Fault Act having been met). Ms. Luna's deposition further confirms that class certification on the fraud claim is appropriate as Citizens communicated the same, misleading message to all its insureds.

CONCLUSION

For all the reasons set forth in the initial motion and brief, the reply brief, and those set forth above, this Court should certify a class of Citizens' insureds who have incurred medical mileage and received reimbursement at unreasonable, lowball rates during the six years prior to the filing of the Complaint and who have been defrauded.

Respectfully submitted,

James McKenna (P41587) Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 24825 Little Mack St. Clair Shores, MI 48080 (586) 779-7810

Paul Zebrowski (P44427)
Thomas A. Biscup (P40380)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
45581 Village Blvd.
Shelby Twp., MI 48315
(586) 566-7266

Dated: June 8, 2009

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on June 8, 2009, a copy of the foregoing Plaintiff's Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Class Certification Based on Deposition of Amy Luna was served via United States Postal Service, first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to Lori McAllister, 201 Townsend Street, Suite 900, Lansing, MI 48933, and to Robert S. Huth, Jr., 19500 Hall Road, Suite 100, Clinton Township, MI 48038.

Nicole M. Guffoll

Transcript of the Testimony of **AMY LUNA**

Date: June 1, 2009

Case: ONDRUS VS. CITIZENS



Certified Court Reporters
Phone/Fax: 586-752-4614
ggrant2000@hotmail.com

Page 1

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF MACOMB

KARLA ONDRUS as the Guardian and Conservator for ANDY ONDRUS, a protected Person, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

∀s.

CASE NO.: 08-004408-NF

HON. PETER J. MACERONI

CITIZENS INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF AMY LUNA

Taken by the Plaintiff on Monday, the 1st day of June, 2009, at the Macomb County Bar Association, 40 North Main Street, Suite 435, Mount Clemens, Michigan, at 10:00 a.m.

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: JAMES McKENNA, ESQ. (P41587)

Thomas, Garvey, Garvey & Sciotti, P.C.

24825 Little Mack

St. Clair Shores, Michigan 48080

(586) 779-7810

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

Page 2

APPEARANCES: CONTINUED

For the Defendant: LORI McALLISTER, ESQ. (P39501)

Dykema Gossett, PLLC

201 Townsend Street

Suite 900

Lansing, Michigan 48933

(517) 374-9150

VIDEO TECHNICIAN: TRAVIS JEWELL

Bienenstock Court Reporting & Video

(248) 644-8888

REPORTED BY:

LORI GRANT, CSMR 4686

Grant & Associates Court Reporting

586-752-4614

ggrant2000@hotmail.com

| INDEX | Page 3 |
|---|---------------------|
| WITNESS: | PAGE: |
| AMY LUNA | |
| Examination by MR. McKENNA | 5 |
| * * * * | |
| EXHIBITS | |
| DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 1-A (Notice of Taking Deposition; attached) | 34 |
| DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 1-B (Cross-Notice of Taking Deposition; attached) | 34 |
| DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 2 (E-Mail from Luna to Borin; attached) | 63 |
| DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 3 (Correspondence Regarding Medical Mileage; attached) | 63 |
| DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 4 (E-Mail Correspondence from Rogers to Malone - | |
| Part I; attached) DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO. 5 | 64 |
| (E-Mail Correspondence from Rogers to Malone - Part II; attached) | 64 |
| * * * * | |
| | |
| | |
| | 2. Filtre Community |

| | Page 4 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MOUNT CLEMENS, MICHIGAN |
| 2 | MONDAY JUNE 1, 2009 |
| 3 | 2:30 P.M. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | * * * |
| 6 | |
| 7 | VIDEO TECHNICIAN: We are now on the |
| 8 | record. |
| 9 | This is the video tape deposition of Amy |
| 10 | Luna being taken on Monday, June 1st, 2009. The time is |
| 11 | now 2:30:22 p.m. We are located at 40 North Main |
| 12 | Street, Mount Clemens, Michigan. |
| 13 | We are here in the matter of Karla |
| 14 | Ondrus, et al, versus Citizens Insurance Company. This |
| 15 | is case number 08-004408-NF. This matter is being held |
| 16 | in the Circuit Court for the County of Macomb. |
| 17 | My name is Travis Jewell, video |
| 18 | technician. |
| 19 | Would the Court Reporter swear in the |
| 20 | witness and the attorneys briefly identify themselves |
| 21 | for the record, please? |
| 22 | AMY LUNA, |
| 23 | After having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, |
| 24 | the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified as |
| 25 | follows: |
| 25 | follows: |

| İ | | | |
|----------|----|---|--|
| 2 | | Page 5 MR. McKENNA: James McKenna on behalf of the plaintiff. | |
| 4 5 | | MS. McALLISTER: Lori McAllister on behalf of Defendant. | |
| 6 | | MR. McKENNA: Could you state your name for the record, please? | |
| 8 | | THE WITNESS: Amy Luna. | |
| 9 | | MR. McKENNA: Let the record reflect this is the date and time scheduled for the deposition of Amy | |
| 11 | | Luna taken pursuant to Notice and to be used for any and all purposes allowed under the Michigan Court Rules. | |
| 12 | | | |
| 14 | | * * * * | |
| 16 | RY | EXAMINATION MR. McKENNA: | |
| 17 18 | Q | Do you want to be called Ms. Luna, Amy? Which way would you like to be addressed? | |
| 19 | A | Amy is fine. | |
| 20 | Q | Amy, I'm going to ask you some questions. If at any | |
| 21 | | time I ask you a question you don't understand, will you | |
| 22 | | let me know? | |
| 23 | A | Yes. | |
| 25 | Q | If I ask you a question and you indicate that you don't remember or you're not sure but later on you do, will | |

| 1 | | Page 6 |
|----|------------|--|
| 2 | | you let me know so you can change your answer on the |
| 3 | 7 1 | record? |
| | A | Yes. |
| 4 | Q | Likewise, if I ask you a question and you indicate that |
| 5 | | you have an answer and realize later on for whatever |
| 6 | | reason that answer is not accurate, will you let me know |
| 7 | | and you can change that answer as well? |
| 8 | A | Yes. |
| 9 | Q | The Court Reporter sitting across from us is going to |
| 10 | | transcribe every one of my questions. I order for her |
| 11 | | to do that, you'll have to give a verbal response to the |
| 12 | | question. I won't tell you you have to say yes or no, |
| 13 | | but something verbal as opposed to nodding your head or |
| 14 | | shrugging your shoulders, okay? |
| 15 | A | Okay. |
| 16 | Q | Through the course of the deposition, please don't |
| 17 | | change my question in your mind and give a different |
| 18 | | answer. If you're really not sure about what it is I |
| 19 | | asked, let me know as opposed to you trying to think |
| 20 | | what I'm asking. Is that fair? |
| 21 | A | Okay, that's fair. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Q | When this transcript is prepared, my questions will be |
| | | first, your answer will follow. Myself or anyone else, |
| 24 | | the judge, jury, reading the transcript, will assume |
| 25 | | that when you answered a question you did so only |

```
Page 7
            because you understood the question and that your
  2
            answers were accurate and truthful; is that fair?
  3
            That's fair.
  4
            Have you ever given a deposition before?
       Q
  5
      Α
            Yes.
  6
      0
            How many times?
  7
      Α
            Twice.
           When was the last time?
      0
  9
            It had to -- I don't recall the exact date. It was a
      Α
 10
           few years ago with a different company.
 11
           What kind of a case was that?
      0
 12
      Α
           It was a PIP case.
13
      0
           With which company?
14
      Α
           Progressive Insurance.
15
           And the other deposition?
      Q
16
           Was also with Progressive Insurance, and that was a PIP
      Α
17
           case as well.
18
           Do you know who the attorneys were for Progressive on
      0
19
           those cases?
20
     Α
           No, I don't recall.
21
          You're currently employed with Citizens; is that
     Q
22
           correct?
23
     Α
          The company is Hanover Insurance.
24
          Forgive me. If you don't mind, because I'm going to
     Q
25
          keep screwing that up, if I say Citizens can we agree
```

| | | Page 8 |
|----|------|---|
| 1 | | that I'm referring to Hanover? |
| 2 | А | Yes. |
| 3 | Q | I'll try and say Hanover, but if I slip I don't want to |
| 4 | | have to go through the colloquy again, all right? |
| 5 | А | Okay. |
| 6 | | MS. McALLISTER: If we could just clarify |
| 7 | | one thing, her employer is the Hanover Insurance Group, |
| 8 | | the policy here was written by Citizens Insurance |
| 9 | | Company of America, so if you say Citizens that's going |
| 10 | | to be the entity who paid on the claim that is at issue |
| 11 | | in this case. |
| 12 | | MR. McKENNA: Could we have an agreement |
| 13 | | that if I just say Citizens we all know what we're |
| 14 | | talking about then? |
| 15 | | MS. McALLISTER: That would be just fine. |
| 16 | | MR. McKENNA: Great. |
| 17 | BY N | MR. MCKENNA: |
| 18 | Q | I think my question was you're employed with Citizens, |
| 19 | | now the Hanover Insurance Group. How long have you been |
| 20 | | employed with them? |
| 21 | A | Since November, 2006. |
| 22 | Q | What were you hired in as? |
| 23 | А | PIP unit manager. |
| 24 | Q | What is your current position? |
| 25 | А | Is PIP manager. |
| | | |

Page 9 1 Between PIP unit manager and PIP manager, are there any 2 other positions you've held? 3 No. A 4 What were your job duties and responsibilities when you 5 were hired in as a PIP unit manager? 6 Α I had approximately eight PIP adjusters and one 7 administrative staff that reported to me. My duties 8 were to train, develop our adjusters, and have some 9 oversight over their file handling. 10 Who did you report to? 0 11 Α Yvonne Rogers. 12 She no longer works for the company? Q 13 А That's correct. 14 And what was her title? Q 15 Α It was PIP manager. 16 The position you now have? 0 17 А Yes. 18 0 And prior to working for Citizens you worked for 19 Progressive? 20 Α That's correct. 21 Prior to Progressive did you work for any other 0 22 insurance company? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Which company? 25 Α Allstate Insurance.

Page 10 1 0 And prior to that where did you work? 2 It would have been Spectrum Community Health. Α 3 Did that have anything to do with insurance? Q Α No. 5 Q Other than Progressive and Allstate have you worked for 6 any other insurance companies in the past? 7 Α No. Q Are you a high school graduate? 9 Α Yes. 10 0 What high school? 11 Α Saranac High School. 12 Q Spell it for me. 13 Α S-A-R-A-N-A-C. Is that in New York? 0 15 Α No, that's in Michigan. 16 Q Michigan? 17 Α Yes. 18 Q And what year did you graduate? 19 Α 1986. 20 Did you go to college? Q 21 Α Yes. 22 Where? 0 23 A Davenport University. 24 Where was that? 0 25 Α Grand Rapids, Michigan.

Page 11 And did you obtain a degree? Q Α Yes, I did. 3 0 In what year? Α 2002. 5 And what was the degree in? Q 6 It was a bachelor's of applied science with a business А 7 management emphasis. 8 Was it a two-year? Q 9 Α Four-year. 10 Q Four-year. And after Davenport in 2002 what was your 11 first job? 12 That would have been with Progressive Insurance. А 13 Okay. And what year did you start with them? 0 14 It was in June of 2002. Α 15 What was the position you were hired in as? Q 16 Α As a PIP adjuster. 17 Is it a fair statement that you had no prior experience Q 18 in PIP prior to that? 19 That would have been Allstate prior to Progressive. Α 20 Forgive me. I'm making assumptions I shouldn't be. 21 How long did you work for Progressive? 22 Until November of 2006. Α 23 You started with Allstate in what year? 0 24 Α 1998. 25 And when did you last work with them? 0

Page 12 1 Α 2000. 2 And what was the reason that you left Allstate? 0 3 I secured a position with a company that was much closer Α to my home at the time, it was not in insurance. 5 What was the name of that company? 0 6 Α Atwood Corporation. 7 0 What did you do there? 8 Α I was a human resource specialist. 9 How long did you work for Atwood? 0 10 A I worked until June of 2002. 11 And that's when you started with Progressive? 0 12 Α Yes. 13 When you were with Allstate what was your position? 0 I started out as a medical claim specialist. 14 Α 15 O. Handling auto? 16 А It was PIP. 17 Q Prior to working in that position, would it be a fair 18 statement that you did not have any experience handling 19 PIP claims? 20 Α That's correct. 21 What type of training did you receive from Allstate in 0 22 handling PIP claims? It was all on-the-job training. I was paired with our 23 Α 24 senior adjusters as well as mentoring through my direct 25 supervisor.

| | ······································ | |
|----|--|--|
| | | Page 13 |
| 1 | Q | And how long were you receiving that on-the-job training |
| 2 | | and mentoring before you were handling files of your |
| 3 | | own? |
| 4 | А | I would say approximately close to a year. |
| 5 | Q | And then about a year after that you left the company; |
| 6 | | is that fair? |
| 7 | А | A year after that, yes. Been there two years. |
| 8 | Q | So you were handling PIP claims on your own for about a |
| 9 | | year? |
| 10 | А | Yes. |
| 11 | Q | Would it be a fair statement that in order to handle PIP |
| 12 | | claims you needed to understand the Allstate policy, |
| 13 | | No-Fault policy? |
| 14 | А | I would say the policy as well as the Michigan No-Fault |
| 15 | | Statute. |
| 16 | Q | I only get to ask one question at a time, but that was |
| 17 | | my follow-up. So in order for you to do your job you'd |
| 18 | | have to have a working knowledge of both? |
| 19 | А | That's correct. |
| 20 | Q | And in order for you to do your job you would have to |
| 21 | | read and understand and know what the policy and the |
| 22 | | statute said as it related to benefits that insureds |
| 23 | | were entitled to? |
| 24 | А | That's correct. |
| 25 | Q | And would it be a fair statement that you had to have |
| | | \mathbf{l} : |

Page 14 1 that same knowledge when you worked with Progressive? 2 Α That would be correct. 3 And the same knowledge when you work now with Citizens? 0 Α Yes. 5 Now, is it your testimony that since November of 2006 6 that you feel that you are knowledgeable of the Michigan 7 No-Fault Statute as well as the Citizens' policy as it 8 applies to Citizens' insureds? 9 Α Yes, I would feel I'm knowledgeable. 10 0 And have you attended any seminars --11 Α Yes. 12 -- with respect to PIP with Citizens? 13 Α Yes. 14 And have you attended any seminars with Allstate? Q 15 Α No. 16 Prior to working with Citizens did you know who 0 17 Mr. Jim Borin was? 18 Α Yes. 19 Prior to working with Citizens did you meet Mr. Borin? Q 20 Α Yes. Prior to working for Citizens did you read Mr. Borin's 21 0 22 book? 23 Α Yes. Did you ever attend any meetings with Mr. Borin prior to 24 25 working with Citizens?

Page 15 1 Α I attended a class, yes. 2 Okay. And what class did you attend with Mr. Borin? Q 3 The Michigan No-Fault. А And how long was that class? Q 5 Α I want to say it was either six or eight weeks. 6 recall exactly. 7 Do you know what year that was? Q 8 It would have been around the time I started at Α 9 Progressive, so about 2002. 10 Would it be a fair statement, ma'am, that as it relates 0 11 to adjusting PIP claims there are essentially three 12 things that a PIP adjuster does. They make a decision 13 to pay benefits, is one of them? 14 Α Yes, that's one. 15 They make a decision to deny benefits, which is another O 16 one? 17 Α Yes. 18 Or they make a decision that they need to have more 0 19 information to make the decision to pay or not pay? 20 That would be correct. Α 21 Would you agree that an adjuster handling PIP files does 0 22 one of those three things on a daily basis? 23 Α Yes. 24 And that for the most part what an adjuster does on 0

their job handling PIP files involves an activity that

25

Page 16 1 would fall into one of those three categories? 2 Α Yes. 3 0 With respect to the PIP benefits that insureds are entitled to, is it your testimony as a PIP manager that your adjusters are supposed to know all of the benefits that the insureds are entitled to? 7 Α Yes. 8 0 Would you agree that if an adjuster doesn't know all of 9 the benefits that an insured is entitled to that that 10 would be an adjuster that needed additional training or 11 education? 12 А Yes. 13 Would you agree that an insured has a reasonable Q 14 expectation of having an adjuster that knows what 15 they're doing? 16 MS. McALLISTER: I'll object to that. It 17 requires speculation as to what an insured may or may 18 not expect. It's speculation. 19 BY MR. MCKENNA: 20 Go ahead. 0 21 I would say yes, they would expect that they would have Α 22 knowledge. 23 0 You as a PIP manager should have a reasonable 24 expectation that your insureds have adjusters that know 25 what they're doing?

Page 17 1 A Yes. 2 With respect to the insureds' claims, at Citizens you Q 3 have a diary system, is that correct, that you use? Α That's correct. 5 Do you have a name for it? I'll call it a diary system, 6 if that works, or do you have a special name at Citizens 7 that you refer to it as? 8 Α A diary system is fine. 9 And that diary system since you've been at Citizens is 0 10 electronic? 11 А Yes. 12 Is there a way to enter into that system and change any Q 13 of the entries without anyone knowing about it? 14 MS. McALLISTER: What level person? 15 MR. McKENNA: Any level person. 16 BY MR. McKENNA: 17 Is there a way to access the database on files with the Q 18 electronically diaried information without there being a 19 footprint, fingerprint, some type of notice that it was 20 changed, deleted, removed? 21 Α Without a footprint, no. 22 So to the extent that there is information logged into 23 the diary system by an adjuster or by someone at Citizens with authority, it should leave behind 24 25 information as to at least whose computer code or pass

Page 18 1 code was used? 2 А Yes. 3 Understanding that someone else could have had someone's pass code and left the information, would it be a fair statement that a pass code is needed to log-in to the 6 computer system? 7 Yes, that's correct. Α 8 Since you have been with Progressive and Allstate, were 9 you able to determine that at both of those positions it 10 was also diary systems that were used? 11 Α Yes, they were diary systems. 12 When you got to Citizens did you learn from your 13 experience there that the way that they diaried files 14 was different than what was being done at Allstate or 15 Progressive? 16 Α No, they were very similar. 17 With respect to the way files are diaried then, would Q 18 you agree that a diary system has at least two purposes, and I'll mention them one at a time, that when you go in 19 20 and log information into a file you do that as it relates to significant daily activities on that file? 21 22 Д Yes. 23 Would you agree that a significant daily activity would 0 24 include one of the three items we discussed earlier; 25 decision to pay, to not pay, or to obtain additional

Page 19 1 information? 2 А Yes, those would be reasons. 3 And one of those three reasons, if they occurred on a daily basis, would be a significant daily activity that 5 should, in fact, be logged? 6 Α Yes. 7 Would you agree then that after a significant daily 0 8 activity has occurred that the documentation of it is to 9 be done in a clear and concise manner? 10 Α Yes. 11 Would you agree that no one from outside of Citizens 12 would tell an adjuster how or what information to put in 13 the diary? 14 Α That is correct. 15 With respect to the information that is put into the 0 16 diary, that would come from you as a PIP manager or --17 I'm going to go back to my notes -- PIP unit manager, 18 you would want to make sure that all of the employees 19 that were working under you were following Citizens' 20 requirements for diarying? 21 Д Yes. 22 Would you agree that in addition to being clear and 0 23 concise that the entry of information into a diary is so 24 that from a historical standpoint you would be able --25 anyone reading the diary would be able to know what the

Page 20 1 adjuster was doing? 2 Α Yes. 3 And in an unambiguous way? Α Yes. And that's because you want it entered first in a clear and concise manner? 7 That's correct. А 8 If you as the unit PIP manager or PIP manager or 9 adjuster were making entries, one of the other reasons 10 for it would be so that if anyone wanted to know later 11 on, historically, what you were doing or what you were 12 thinking, that entry should take care of that? 13 That's correct. 14 Would you consider it to be a reasonably -- strike that. 15 Would you consider as a unit PIP manager or PIP manager 16 that a reasonably prudent adjuster would do those 17 things? 18 That would be correct. Α 19 Now, are you aware that under the No-Fault Act the 20 actions of the insurance company are to be reasonable 21 with respect to how they handle a claim? 22 Д Yes. 23 MS. McALLISTER: Objection, misstates the 24 law. 25 111

Page 21 BY MR. McKENNA: 2 Go ahead. 3 MS. McALLISTER: Go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the 5 question? 6 BY MR. McKENNA: 7 Would you agree that as a unit PIP manager, PIP manager, or an adjuster that the actions of the adjusters handling the file, or you as a PIP manager or unit 10 manager, are to be reasonable in the way that you deal 11 with that file to the insured? 12 I would think that it's -- you need to be reasonable as Α 13 it pertains to the No-Fault law and our policy. 14 Well, are you aware that under the No-Fault Act as well 0 15 as the policy it states that you will, in fact, act 16 reasonable when you're presented with claims by the 17 insureds? 18 I don't recall that section of the No-Fault law. Α 19 Are you familiar with what the word reasonable means as Q 20 it relates to the Citizens' policy and the No-Fault Act? 21 A Yes. 22 You said that you are in a position where you teach or Q 23 train people, correct? 24 Α That's correct. 25 Do you teach and train them with respect to Citizens' 0

| | - | Page 22 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | policies and procedures? |
| 2 | A | Yes. |
| 3 | Q | And you expect them to follow that training? |
| 4 | А | Yes. |
| 5 | Q | Do you train your adjusters and your unit PIP managers |
| 6 | | that work below you that reasonable is fair? |
| 7 | A | As it relates to the accident, yes. |
| -8 | Q | As it relates to all of the claim? |
| 9 | А | All of the claim, yes. |
| 10 | Q | So in terms of what would be reasonable, you would tell |
| 11 | • | people that their responsibility in handling files is to |
| 12 | | be fair? |
| 13 | A | Yes, as it relates to the auto accident and the claim, |
| 14 | | yes. |
| 15 | Q | Now, have you ever handled any other types of claims |
| 16 | | besides PIP files? |
| 17 | А | No. |
| 18 | Q | You're aware that once a PIP file is started until the |
| 19 | | person dies or the claim is completed the insurance |
| 20 | | company at the time will be the insurance company for |
| 21 | | the rest of that person's life? |
| 22 | | MS. McALLISTER: For a particular claim? |
| 23 | | MR. McKENNA: For a particular claim. |
| 24 | | THE WITNESS: For a particular claim, |
| 25 | | yes. |

Page 23 1 BY MR. McKENNA: 2 They may have additional claims for other -- I'm only 3 talking about one claim. Д So if they have ongoing medical issues related to the auto accident, yes, we would be responsible for 6 that. 7 And unlike say a bad marriage or bad relationships, you don't get to change carriers? 9 Α Correct. 10 With respect to the claims file process, in terms of the Q 11 word reasonable, are you aware that under the No-Fault 12 Act once reasonable documentation, reasonable proof has 13 been submitted that the insurance company has an 14 obligation within thirty days to make a decision to pay 15 or not pay on a certain benefit? 16 Α Yes. 17 Would you agree that as an insurance company you train Q 18 your employees that they are to pay benefits that 19 insureds are entitled to in a reasonable manner? 20 Α Yes. 21 Would you agree that you are to pay them what is a 0 22 reasonable amount for what they're claiming? 23 А Yes. 24 Now, with respect to what is reasonable, you will only 25 pay -- and for all PIP claims it would be the same --

Page 24 1 you only pay what is reasonable? 2 Right. What is reasonable and owed under the No-Fault Α 3 Statute, correct. 0 To the extent -- and let me go through this just as a 5 I want you to assume for all of the rest of foundation. my questions when I deal with payment of a claim, I want us to assume that under the No-Fault Act there was an injury or a claim arising out of use, operation, or 9 maintenance of a motor vehicle as a motor vehicle, okay? 10 Α Okay. 11 That's a foundational requirement, isn't it? Q 12 Α Yes. 13 Then it has to be reasonable, necessary and related to 0 14 the automobile accident? 15 Ά Correct. 16 0 And then it would have to be for the care, 17 rehabilitation or recovery of the insured? 18 Correct. Α 19 Now, I want you to assume that when I'm talking about 0 20 the payment of benefits that all of those foundational 21 requirements have been met, okay? 22 Α Okay. 23 If I veer from that, I'll let you know. 0 24 Α Okay. 25 But it will save me from having to restate the whole

Page 25 1 thing, and hopefully this will go quicker that way. 2 Α Okay. 3 So once there has been a determination that a benefit is reasonable, necessary and related, the adjuster's job is 5 to pay that benefit, correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 The adjuster's responsibility to the company is to pay 0 8 what is reasonable? 9 Α Correct. 10 Does Citizens -- strike that. 0 11 Let's go back to -- Progressive, I think, 12 was your first job? 13 Yes -- or, no, Allstate. Sorry. Α 14 Allstate. Was your training at Allstate and Progressive 0 15 the same as it relates to PIP payments being made in a 16 reasonable manner, a reasonable time, and for a 17 reasonable amount? 18 Α Yes. 19 Would you agree that your responsibility, whether it is Q 20 from a provider, or an ambulance company, or for 21 transportation expenses, that you owe a reasonable --22 you owe the reasonable amount for the service claims? 23 Α Yes. 24 With respect to PIP you pay what's reasonable based on 25 the service provided as opposed to who's providing the

Page 26 1 service? Α Yes. 3 So in other words, if it was a PIP attendant care claim and a neurosurgeon was charging a neurosurgeon's rate to do their work, and their spouse was injured and they were providing attendant care and they submitted a bill or claim for their time as a neurosurgeon, you would tell them that you pay only what's reasonable for that service? 10 That's correct. Α 11 And I take it that would cut both ways; if your insured 0 12 was submitting a claim and you knew that it wasn't 13 reasonable to pay, say, a dollar an hour for attendant 14 care, you would tell them that's not reasonable, we owe 15 you more? 16 Α Yes. 17 So with respect to when claims are made, whether it is 18 an insured making a claim for more than what is 19 reasonable or less than what is reasonable, in all of 20 the companies that you have worked for, and in 21 particular at Citizens, you pay what is reasonable 22 regardless of how it is claimed, correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 With respect to your job at Citizens, is there a program 25 or a procedure that you have to follow-up and make sure

Page 27 1 that your insureds are being treated reasonable or 2 reasonably or fairly by the adjusters? Α Our process is if there is an issue or a question of reasonableness, then certainly our customers call in and 5 most of the time they'll talk to the unit manager first, 6 or sometimes that does get elevated to myself if they're 7 not happy with the discussion with the unit manager, and 8 that's how the process starts. Now, would you as a unit manager or a PIP manager want Q 10 to have all of your insureds treated the same regardless 11 of who their adjuster was? 12 MS. McALLISTER: Object to foundation in 13 terms of what you mean by the "the same." 14 BY MR. McKENNA: 15 Would you want to have each of the insureds provided the Q benefits they're entitled to in the same manner 17 regardless of who the adjuster was? 18 Α I would say, yes. 19 So it wouldn't be fair to expect that an insured with 20 one adjuster gets paid more money or less than someone 21 else for the same service or same claim just because 22 they have a different adjuster? 23 Well, the service itself could depend. It depends 24 specifically, because case by case their benefit may be 25 a little different based on their medical needs.

Page 28

- 1 Q Let me remove any ambiguity.
- ² A Okay.
- ³ Q For the purpose of my question, I want you to assume
- 4 it's identical.
- 5 A Okav.
- 6 Q It wouldn't be fair to expect that one insured got paid
- a different rate for a claim or a service than someone
- 8 else simply because they had a different adjuster?
- ⁹ A Correct.
- Q With respect to the handling of the files, for example
- on the Ondrus file, have you reviewed the file?
- 12 A No, I have not.
- Q Who is the adjuster that's assigned to handle that file?
- 14 A Stacey Fisher (phonetically).
- Q And is Stacey Fisher (ph.) someone that you supervise?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Who supervises Stacey?
- 18 A Shelly Garcia.
- 19 Q And do you supervise her?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Would it be a fair statement then that the hierarchy of
- things at Citizens, responsibility rolls up?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q That if Ms. Fisher (ph.) is doing her job, she's
- supervising Ms. Garcia?

Page 29 1 No, Ms. Garcia is supervising Ms. Fisher (ph.). А 2 Q Forgive me. And then you would supervise Ms. Garcia? 3 Д Correct. And somewhere in that process, if either her supervisor Q 5 or the adjuster is not treating the insured in a reasonably fair manner, you would be responsible for that as the supervisor of those people? 8 If I am notified there's an issue, then yes, that would Α 9 get my involvement. 10 How do you catch whether or not the people below you --0 11 how do you honestly catch in that sense -- how do you 12 determine that they're doing their job appropriately? 13 There's various ways. For my unit managers I may go in А 14 and look at their documents such as feedback that they 15 give on a file, I may spot check that, certainly if 16 there's a concern. Sometimes the customers will call me 17 directly or ask to speak to me directly, so I would then 18 get involved in that file. 19 For a moment let's talk about the hierarchy. Q 20 Citizens you have an adjuster as the first contact 21 person typically with an insured on a claim? 22 Α Yes. 23 Above the adjuster, what is the title? PIP unit 24 manager? 25 Α Yes, PIP unit manager.

Page 30

- 1 Q And above the PIP unit manager, what is the next title
- for supervision?
- 3 A PIP manager.
- 4 O And that's your position now?
- ⁵ A Yes.
- 6 Q Above you what is the next person or title that you
- 7 report to?
- 8 A Vice president of claims -- well, vice president --
- 9 sorry, of PIP and Workers Comp.
- 10 O And who is that person currently?
- 11 A Richard Cammett.
- 12 Q You're going to have to spell that last name.
- 13 A No problèm. It's C-A-M-M-E-T-T.
- 14 Q Now, the vice president of PIP and Workers Comp., is
- that a position only in Michigan?
- 16 A No, he actually is in our corporate office in Worcester,
- Massachusetts.
- 18 Q How do you spell Worcester?
- 19 A W-O-R-C-E-S-T-E-R.
- 20 Q And do you know who is in a supervisory position above
- 21 Mr. Cammett?
- 22 A Yes. It's vice president of claims, and that is
- Mark Welzenbach, that's W-E-L-Z-E-N-B-A-C-H.
- 24 Q And are you aware of a position above vice president of
- claims as it relates to PIP in Michigan that would have

Page 31 1 a supervisory position? 2 Above Mark is, I believe, our vice president of our 3 company, her name is Marita, and I could not even 4 pronounce or spell her last name for you, so I 5 apologize. I'm not sure what her last name --6 Do you even know how to say it? 0 7 Α It's -- no. No, I would have to look. I apologize. 8 0 Her title is vice president of Hanover Insurance Group? 9 Α Yes. 10 Now, with respect to policies and procedures that apply Q 11 to Michigan No-Fault insureds, can an adjuster dictate 12 policy for the company? 13 Α No. 14 Can a unit manager of PIP dictate policy for the O 15 company? 16 Α No. 17 Can the PIP manager dictate policy for the company? Q 18 A No. 19 Can the vice president of PIP and Workers Comp. dictate 0 20 policy for the company? 21 Could you specify policy, so I know I'm answering you Д 22 correctly? You don't mean the actual auto policy? 23 0 No, no, no. 24 Α Okay. 25 I'm talking about claims handling policies or Q

Page 32

- 1 procedures.
- ² A Okay, then the vice president could, yes.
- 3 Q Since you have been with Citizens have you had policy
- 4 and procedure changes that have been dictated by the
- vice president of PIP and Workers Comp.?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Was Richard Cammett the person that dictated those
- 8 changes to policy and procedure?
- 9 A He was one, yes, one person.
- 10 Q Okay. Who was the other one?
- 11 A Karen Malone.
- 12 Q Now, Karen Malone, was she also a vice president for PIP
- and Workers Comp.?
- 14 A She's an assistant vice president for PIP.
- 15 Q I want to go back and make sure I haven't missed any of
- the positions in the ladder.
- 17 A Uh-huh.
- 18 Q Adjuster, PIP unit manager, PIP manager, vice president
- for PIP and Workers Comp. Above or below that position
- or beside it, where does Karen Malone fit in?
- 21 A When I started the company she actually was over
- Michigan. I don't report to her, but my predecessor
- did, Yvonne Rogers.
- 24 O Okay.
- 25 A So since then her current position is she is over PIP

Page 33 over our eastern states, so she's not as involved in Michigan. I don't report directly to her, but she 3 reports to Dick Cammett. 4 In this particular case, the medical transportation 0 5 claims --6 Α Yes. 7 -- there was a policy and procedure change that took 8 Was that something with respect to what amount 9 to pay for medical mileage and transportation? 10 Α Uh-huh. 11 Was that a policy or procedure that was dictated by 0 12 Karen Malone or Richard Cammett, or Mark Welzenbach, and 13 Marita who you can't say her last name? 14 The two I know, and I don't know everyone, I can say Α 15 that Karen Malone and Dick Cammett. 16 Q Karen --17 Α And Yvonne Rogers. I'm sorry. So Karen Malone, Dick 18 Cammett, and Yvonne Rogers, and I -- if they had others 19 involved, I wouldn't have knowledge of that. 20 Yvonne Rogers, I think we talked, was in the position 0 21 that you hold now? 22 Α Yes. 23 Dick Cammett, at the time -- and I'm referring to the 24 time when there was a policy or procedure change as to 25 medical transportation expenses -- Dick Cammett was the

| | | Page 34 |
|----|-----|--|
| 1 | | vice president for PIP and Workers Comp.? |
| 2 | A | That's correct. |
| 3 | Q | And Karen Malone at the time would have been in the vice |
| 4 | | presidential position in charge of PIP in Michigan? |
| 5 | A | Right, Michigan and actually all of PIP at that time. |
| 6 | | So across all states, yes. |
| 7 | Q | All right. But for sure she had Michigan? |
| 8 | A | Yes. |
| 9 | Q | As it relates to the payment for medical transportation, |
| 10 | | you learned back with Allstate and Progressive before |
| 11 | | you went to Citizens that you were to pay medical |
| 12 | | transportation expenses that were reasonable, necessary, |
| 13 | | and related to a claim for which the insured had |
| 14 | | coverage? |
| 15 | A | Yes. |
| 16 | | (Whereupon Deposition Exhibit |
| 17 | | Number 1-A was marked for identification |
| 18 | | by the Court Reporter and attached |
| 19 | | to the transcript.) |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | (Whereupon Deposition Exhibit |
| 22 | | Number 1-B was marked for identification |
| 23 | | by the Court Reporter and attached |
| 24 | | to the transcript.) |
| 25 | /// | |

| | | Page 35 |
|----|------------------|--|
| 1 | Q | And why don't we do this: Why don't I show you the |
| 2 | | first two exhibits. Exhibit 1-A is my Notice of Taking |
| 3 | | Deposition, and 1-B is a amended notice or cross-notice. |
| 4 | | Have you seen either of those before today? |
| 5 | A | Yes, I saw the 1-A or actually I saw both. I think I |
| 6 | | got copies of both of these. |
| 7 | | MS. McALLISTER: I'm sorry, that was 1-A |
| 8 | | and 1-B? |
| 9 | | MR. McKENNA: Yes. |
| 10 | ВҮ | MR. McKENNA: |
| 11 | Q | Have you brought all of the documents that were |
| 12 | | requested in Exhibit 1-A with you today? |
| 13 | A | No, I brought the ones that were in my possession. I |
| 14 | | think other ones had been provided to our counsel. |
| 15 | Q | All right. Well, let me go over this then. |
| 16 | A | Okay. |
| 17 | Q | The first one, the entire PIP file for Andy Ondrus, did |
| 18 | * * ****** * *** | you bring that? |
| 19 | А | Yes, I did. |
| 20 | Q | All documents reflecting or related to the defendant's |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | decision to use or adopt the IRS medical and moving rate |
| 23 | | as the rate that you will pay for medical mileage? |
| 24 | | MS. McALLISTER: We have all of the |
| 25 | | documents that we produced in the case in my car, but |
| | | they are the ones that we produced to you that were |

Page 36 Bates stamped. If you need one of those for some 2 reason, we certainly can go get them, but the majority 3 of them consist of the claims file that she brought. MR. McKENNA: Okay. 5 BY MR. MCKENNA: 6 So you have that with you available? 0 7 Α I have it available, yes. 8 The reason I'm going over this is because I have been getting almost -- I've been getting supplemental 10 responses to things, and even today we've marked another 11 exhibit of documents that we got just today, and what 12 I'm trying to do is find out if we have all of this 13 information. 14 А Okay. 15 I understand that you're saying we got what we have 0 16 already, Counsel has got it in her car, and we've 17 already received those. I want to make sure, because 18 you're the one under oath, that when you testify under 19 oath that this is all that there is we can accept that 20 or deal with the consequences later. 21 Α Okay. 22 All right. Q 23 I have one caveat, MS. McALLISTER: 24 Counsel, and that is we discussed at the last hearing 25 that we would be undertaking a further electronic

Page 37 7 search. Mr. Dombrowski (sic) indicated you would be 2 providing me with search terms that you wanted us to use 3 for that purpose. I advised you at that time that that could not be completed by today, and you indicated you wanted to proceed nonetheless. 6 MR. McKENNA: It's Zebrowski, and yes, I 7 remember. 8 MS. McALLISTER: My apologies. 9 BY MR. McKENNA: 10 But you understand what I'm getting at? 0 11 Α Yes. 12 I want to know to the extent that you're here to swear 13 under oath that you have brought all of the documents 14 that you as the PIP manager are aware of as it relates 15 to this notice. 16 Α Okay. 17 All right. All identifying documents, number four, 18 reflecting all of the individuals involved in the 19 decision making process. Those have already been 20 provided, apparently? I don't have knowledge of these documents, so I can't 21 22 speak to that one. 23 MS. McALLISTER: She can testify as to 24 what was in her files. 25 THE WITNESS: What was in my files.

Page 38 1 BY MR. McKENNA: 2 Well, let me ask you this: So it's your testimony that 3 whatever was in your files has been produced? Α That's correct. As it relates to documents reflecting individuals involved in the decision making or approval process for adopting the IRS medical and moving rate? Α There were none of those in my files, so --9 So I've got everything that you don't have? 0 I don't 10 have anything in there, right? 11 А I don't have anything in there, yes. 12 All documents supporting or demonstrating the IRS 0 13 business standard mileage rate as being reasonable. You 14 had none of those either in your files? 15 No -- well, I had one which was just a legal opinion А 16 that commented on this, but that was all I had in my 17 file. 18 And that was the letter from Mr. Borin? 0 19 Α Correct. 20 And you would have then had a letter to Mr. Borin? Q 21 I believe -- I didn't recall whether I had requested by А 22 phone or e-mail, but since, that's what we brought 23 today, he was able to find an e-mail of which I asked 24 him to provide the legal opinion.

So you had Mr. Borin's e-mail address available to you?

25

0

Page 39 1 Α Yes. And you sent an e-mail to him regarding a claim, or 0 3 regarding a policy or procedure? Α I was asked to get a legal opinion on a policy or 5 procedure. 6 Okay. And someone would have asked you to do that? Q 7 Д That's correct. 8 And who asked you to do that? 0 9 Α Yvonne Rogers. 10 And do you have the e-mail or the request from her to Q 11 you to do that? 12 No, she asked me verbally. Α 13 We talked earlier about activities that are of a Q 14 significant nature regarding claims files. 15 Α Uh-huh. 16 Wouldn't that have been a significant activity to have 17 documented somehow or another? 18 No, because it wasn't specific to a claim file. 19 just wanted a general opinion overall to discuss medical 20 transportation and the mileage rate. 21 Does the documentation of your daily activities occur on Q 22 a diary basis? 23 No, because I don't handle claims or have oversight on 24 the claims. 25 I'm not saying you are, I'm asking from the standpoint Q

| , | | |
|----------|---|--|
| | | Page 40 |
| 1 | | of documenting what you have done, or what the company |
| 2 | | has done with respect to policy and procedure when you |
| 3 | | pass e-mails to or from someone, whether it's generated |
| 4 | | internally or it's going outside, you don't maintain |
| 5 | | those documents? |
| 6 | А | I don't maintain every document, no. |
| 7 | Q | What documents do you maintain then, if you don't |
| 8 | | maintain every document? |
| 9 | А | It would depend. A simple e-mail asking a question, I |
| 10 | | certainly wouldn't I didn't keep in this regard so |
| 11 | | because we got the and for Mr. Borin, I didn't keep |
| 12 | | that e-mail it was just actually asking him to do the |
| 13 | | legal opinion, and then we got the hardcopy of the legal |
| 14 | | opinion, which I did keep that. |
| 15 | Q | Okay. |
| 16 | А | So it's not necessary for me to keep everything. |
| 17 | Q | Would you agree that with respect to payment of claims |
| 18 | | that you have to pay at the time, or make a decision to |
| 19 | | pay or deny at the time the claim is made or within |
| 20 | | thirty days in order for the activity to be reasonable? |
| 21 | А | Yes. |
| 22 | Q | And you would have to, as you understand the Michigan |
| 23 | | No-Fault Act and the policy, you would have to have as a |
| 24 | | basis to pay or not pay reasonable proof to support that |
| 25 | | decision? |
| | | |

Page 41 1 Α Yes. 2 And getting proof after the fact wouldn't be reasonable? 0 3 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 4 the question. 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would say --6 BY MR. McKENNA: 7 For example, to say to someone, they submit a claim to 8 you for -- and I guess I'll say for any claim. 9 already established whatever claims are submitted for 10 any reason as a PIP claim have to be paid in a timely 11 manner and a reasonable manner, correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 And under the No-Fault Act you have thirty days from Q 14 when the claim is presented to you to pay or you would 15 owe penalty interest? 16 Α Correct. 17 So once you have made a decision to pay at whatever rate 18 or not pay, you would have to have under the No-Fault 19 Act and within the policy a reasonable basis for that 20 decision? 21 А Yes. 22 It wouldn Et be reasonable to obtain a basis after a 23 denial or after a decision affecting the payment to 24 support the decision? 25 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of

Page 42

- the question.
- 2 BY MR. MCKENNA:
- 3 O Is that correct?
- 4 A It would depend because it could be something that we
- denied but then additional documentation is then
- 6 received again, so we could then reconsider.
- 7 Q Let me make it easier for you. Let's assume that it's a
- decision to pay.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q And you're going to pay at a set rate, whether it's for
- attendant care, or mileage, or parking reimbursement.
- 12 A Uh-huh.
- 13 Q If you make a decision to pay, you would have to have a
- reasonable basis for that decision to pay?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q It would not be reasonable to obtain a basis for that
- decision at the rate that you paid to obtain information
- later to support your decision?
- 19 A Well, there could be if a concern is raised. If the
- customer calls in as not happy with what we're paying or
- needs clarification on what we're paying we could.
- 22 O I want you -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
- 23 A Oh -- no.
- 24 Q I want you to assume that that didn't occur.
- 25 A Okay.

Page 43 1 That what you did was to tell the insured, this is what 0 2 we're going to pay you, and you made that decision, and 3 then after the fact you obtained information to support 4 that decision. Would that be reasonable? 5 Α Yes. 6 So a payment of less money or denial of payment, you believe it would be reasonable to obtain reasonable 8 support for the decision after the decision? 9 There could be instances that we could. Α 10 Can you think of an instance where if you're following 0 11 the No-Fault Act and you're acting in a reasonable 12 manner that obtaining information after the fact would 13 be reasonable? 14 An example, and excuse me if I'm not understanding, but Α 15 from what I'm hearing an example could be, again, if 16 something's medically changed, or we reviewed the claim 17 and we see that maybe what we weren't paying was 18 reasonable based on that medical need, or if something's 19 changed within that claim then we could go back and 20 revisit that, but we would need to have a basis for 21 doing that. 22 I want you to assume for the purpose of my question I'm Q 23 going to be dealing with medical transportation claims. 24 Α Okay. 25 And if I change from that I'll let you know, but for the Q

Page 44 next line of questioning I want you to assume that. 1 2 Α Okay. 3 A decision -- strike that. A claim gets submitted for a medical 4 5 transportation expense and a decision is made by the adjuster that an expense for medical transportation is reasonable, is necessary, and is related, and there's 8 coverage for it. The only decision after that is how 9 much to pay; is that correct? 10 Correct. Α Now, there was a change that was made in that policy at 11 0 12 Citizens, correct? 13 Α Correct. And when was that change made? 14 15 January 1st, 2007. Α And when was it that you sought a legal opinion on the 16 17 legality of that change? 18 I believe the e-mail was dated in April of '07. Α Would you consider it to be reasonable to obtain a legal 19 20 opinion for a decision that had already been made as 21 opposed to making an inquiry for a legal opinion before 22 changing the policy and procedure? Again, I'm not sure why it was asked after. 23 Α assume maybe there was a concern that had been raised 24 25 and they may have requested this after it.

Page 45 But purely from an adjusting standpoint would you agree 1 Q 2 that if you were going to base a decision to pay a 3 certain rate you would need to have the legal basis for that before you made the decision? 5 I would think that would be a factor to consider, yes. Α Well, wouldn't you think that would be a legal factor 0 7 from the standpoint of being an adjuster? 8 asking you as an attorney, but as an adjuster you have to review the No-Fault policy and the No-Fault Statute 10 and pay not just what's reasonable but reasonable within 11 the legal framework of those two documents? 12 Α Correct. 13 You understand as a PIP adjuster, PIP manager and PIP 0 14 unit manager that you would need to have the support for 15 that decision before you make the decision? 16 Α Yes. 17 Would you agree that to get support for a decision 18 afterwards would not be reasonable? 19 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 20 the question. It's too vague and no foundation. 21 THE WITNESS: Again, I mean, my 22 understanding is obviously there was a reason, it could 23 have been a question or a concern. I don't -- I can't 24 speak to why they requested it afterwards. 25 ///

Page 46 1 BY MR. McKENNA: Q I'm not asking you about that. Α Okay. That wasn't my question. Okay. Α 6 Remember earlier I asked you not to change my question? 0 7 Α Okay, sorry. 8 My question to you is very simple. As an adjuster, as a Q unit PIP manager, as a PIP manager you have to make a 10 decision on one of those three categories we've already 11 agreed to, to pay, to not pay, or to request additional 12 information. Once the decision was made to pay a 13 medical transportation expense at a set rate, wouldn't 14 you agree that the No-Fault Act and your policy requires 15 you to have reasonable proof or support for that 16 decision? 17 Α Correct. 18 And in this particular case you asked for legal support 0 19 for that decision after the decision was already made. 20 Α Correct. 21 What legal support or proof did you have to make that 22 decision prior to contacting Mr. Borin in April and 23 after January when the decision was made? 24 Α I don't know, I wasn't involved in any discussion to the 25 rate change.

Page 47 1 I'm not asking you if you were involved. Q 2 Α Okay. 3 My question, you were involved in all of the claims for the people that you supervised? Α Correct. 6 And based on what has been provided to us, you as a PIP 7 unit manager, PIP manager, and your adjusters at 8 Citizens were telling all of the insureds, including my client, that after January of 2007 PIP was being paid at 10 a set rate? 11 Α Yes. 12 You have already told us under oath that you have to be 13 knowledgeable of what is required under the No-Fault Act 14 and under your policy in order to do your job? 15 Α Correct. 16 And in order to do your job you would have to, with 0 17 respect to setting a flat rate for medical mileage or 18 transportation expense, have reasonable legal proof to 19 support that decision, correct? 20 Yes. Α 21 And at the time that decision was made you did not have 22 -- you, did not have reasonable legal proof; you sought 23 that proof four months after the decision was made; is 24 that correct? 25 MS. McALLISTER:

Again, object to the

Page 48 1 form of the question. It's not consistent with her testimony. 3 BY MR. McKENNA: Isn't that correct? 0 5 Д I did not have that, no. 6 And you are the one we talked about earlier responsible 7 to make sure that your unit PIP managers and your 8 adjusters are applying the Michigan No-Fault Act and the policy in a reasonable and fair and consistent manner to 10 all of the insureds, correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 And would you agree that the policy of Citizens was that 13 a medical transportation expense would be applied across 14 the board at a flat rate after January of 2007? 15 Α The medical mileage rate, yes. 16 0 So everyone was going to be paid that same rate that was 17 already determined to have had the foundational 18 requirements of an injury arising out of the use, 19 operation, maintenance of a motor vehicle as a motor 20 vehicle that was reasonable, necessary and related to an 21 automobile accident, and it was necessary for their 22 care, rehabilitation or recovery, Citizens said all of 23 those people get twenty cents per mile? 24 А If they were claiming mileage. 25 Q If they were claiming a mileage claim?

Page 49 1 Α Correct. 2 And you would tell them it was the policy of the company Q 3 that they were entitled to make a mileage claim? A Yes. 5 And then you would tell them that they were entitled to be paid twenty cents per mile? MS. McALLISTER: You as in she 8 individually? 9 MR. McKENNA: The company. 10 BY MR. McKENNA: 11 The company would tell the insureds they were entitled 12 -- the company was supposed to tell the insureds that 13 they were entitled to medical transportation expenses. 14 MS. McALLISTER: I'll object to the form 15 of that question. It calls for a legal analysis. 16 BY MR. MCKENNA: 17 As a -- I'm not asking -- let me tell you so we can save 18 -- I'll state for the record and go back to it. 19 the questions I'm asking you are to ask you for a legal 20 opinion or a legal analysis. Every one of my questions 21 will deal with your ability as a unit PIP manager, unit 22 manager, or adjuster in dealing with the legal framework 23 you have to do your job in, okay? 24 Α Okay. 25 You understand you as an adjuster, you as a PIP manager, 0

| | | Page 50 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | you as a unit PIP manager had to make decisions based on |
| 2 | | the legality of payments in your position as an |
| 3 | | adjuster, in your position as a unit PIP manager, in |
| 4 | | your position as a PIP manager, correct? |
| 5 | А | Correct. |
| 6 | Q | You couldn't do your job without reading statutes and |
| 7 | | reading court of appeals and supreme court decisions and |
| 8 | | applying that, could you? |
| 9 | A | Correct. |
| 10 | Q | And they didn't tell you you were a lawyer when you were |
| 11 | | doing it? |
| 12 | A | Correct. |
| 13 | Q | And I'm not asking you to be one now, okay? |
| 14 | A | Okay. |
| 15 | Q | So from the standpoint of the company's decision when an |
| 16 | | insured makes a claim, the company's policy and |
| 17 | | procedure is to tell the insured you're entitled to |
| 18 | | medical reimbursement for transportation? |
| 19 | А | Correct. |
| 20 | Q | And then the company made a decision in 2007 in January |
| 21 | | to pay at a flat rate for all of those people that met |
| 22 | | those requirements? |
| 23 | A | Right, that were making a medical mileage claim, it was |
| 24 | | the twenty cents, correct. |
| 25 | Q | And you were in charge of how many different claims as a |

Page 51 1 unit manager? 2 I don't recall how many at that time. How many PIP unit managers worked under you? There were no unit managers at that time that worked 5 under me, it was just PIP adjusters at that time. 6 Okay, forgive me. Let me do it this way: Citizens is 0 7 still following the same policy and procedure adopted in 8 January, 2007 regarding medical transportation expenses? 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Today --11 MS. McALLISTER: You're not asking twenty 12 cents, you're asking about the IRS --13 MR. McKENNA: I didn't state an amount. 14 MS. McALLISTER: I wanted to make sure. 15 MR. McKENNA: Well, if I say a dollar 16 amount I think it would be clear. 17 MS. McALLISTER: Okay. 18 BY MR. McKENNA: 19 Today as a PIP manager, how many PIP unit managers work 20 underneath you? 21 А I have six. 22 And those six unit managers have how many adjusters 23 working for them? 24 Five have actual adjusters. One of my unit managers is 25 over like administrative staff, but the five that do

Page 52 1 have anywhere between six to nine adjusters under them. So if I do just the rough math, anywhere from thirty to 0 3 forty adjusters? Α Approximately thirty-four adjusters at this time. Thirty-four? б Uh-huh. 7 In 2007 would it have been about the same amount? 8 It may have been a little higher, but I would say Д between thirty-five to forty at that time. 10 And the adjusters have a caseload, the PIP adjusters? 0 11 Α Yes. 12 And approximately how many cases do each of your --13 strike that. 14 What is it that you want your adjusters 15 handling as a reasonable caseload for your PIP 16 adjusters? 17 They could average between, I'd say, a hundred and Α 18 twenty to a hundred and fifty. 19 The PIP adjusters that are handling a hundred and twenty Q 20 to a hundred and fifty files would also have to 21 understand the legal basis upon which they pay claims, 22 deny claims, or ask for additional information? 23 Correct. Α 24 And you would be responsible to make sure that that 25 trickles down to them?

Page 53 1 Α Correct. The decision to pay at a flat rate is based on a IRS 0 regulation; is that correct? Α Yeah, I believe that's the baseline we are using is the 5 IRS. 0 Now, you used the word "baseline." 7 Α Or quideline. 8 Guideline. Any other words that you use for it? Q Α No. 10 At Citizens you have forms that are used, correct? 0 11 Α Correct. 12 Q And you have standardized forms for medical 13 transportation claims, correct? 14 А For the medical mileage, yes. 15 0 And you have it as a standardized form because since 16 January of 2007 when people make a claim for that you 17 have one form that you give them and on that form the 18 adjuster would fill in how much at a given time Citizens 19 was reimbursing as a flat rate? 20 It's actually a letter so the adjusters are not filling Α 21 in anything. It's a letter that's already in our system 22 with the correct current rate. 23 So who is responsible then at Citizens to update 0 Okav. 24 that letter to the current rate? 25 That would be my -- well, my -- currently that's my Α

Page 54 1 responsibility to make sure those are updated. 0 Going back to 2007 would it be the PIP manager's 3 responsibility to set the rate in that letter? Α Correct. And is that letter kept in something called a K file? 0 6 А Yeah, it's a share drive. 7 K drive? 0 8 K drive, yep. Α 9 Q Okav. So all of --10 Well, and I need to correct. I'm sorry. Back then it 11 was in the K drive, yes. 12 And today what is it in? 0 13 We have a new computer system so it's just --Α 14 O What would I call it today? 15 Α It's just our claim system. It's a letter in there. 16 Sorry. 17 So if I wanted to access that document and didn't know 0 18 anything about Citizens and said I would like to have 19 access to the current letter regarding medical 20 transportation, you would just go find it as opposed to 21 it being in a K drive or something like that? 22 Right. The current letter that goes out on all new Α 23 claims is currently in just our claims system. 24 automatic form that on all new claims it goes out in 25 what we call the PIP packets.

Page 55 1 Now, you train, you said -- well, strike that. 0 2 back up. 3 When you were at Progressive and Allstate and were learning about PIP, were you taught to develop 4 5 a relationship with the insureds? 6 Α You need to have a rapport with our customers, 7 yep. 8 And going back to what I said earlier, it's important to Q 9 have a good relationship with your insureds because 10 unlike a fire claim or any other type of claim they 11 don't get to switch carriers after this claim is 12 Even if they switch to State Farm or some 13 other carrier, with respect to that claim the company at 14 the time the claim is made is that company for the rest 15 of that claim's lifetime? 16 А That's correct. 17 So while you were with those companies were you taught 0 18 that it is important for the adjusters to establish a 19 relationship of trust and confidence with the insureds? 20 Α Yes. 21 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the extent 22 you're using those as legal terms. 23 BY MR. McKENNA: 24 Go ahead. Was that yes? 25 Yes, they need to build a good rapport with the

Page 56 1 customer. 2 And with respect to building a rapport, you would need to have them trust you? 4 We would certainly like the customer to trust us, yes. Α 5 Well, it's your intent that they trust you? 0 6 Α Yes. It's your intent that they have confidence in what 0 8 you're telling them? 9 Α Yes. 10 It's your intent that they believe what you say? 11 Α Yes. 12 0 It's your intent that when you send them a letter that 13 they should be able to trust and believe what's written 14 in there? 15 Α Yes. 16 Do you have a form letter that you send out to each of 0 17 the insureds? 18 Α We have many form letters. 19 For example, a PIP claim, do --0 20 Α For a PIP claim, yes. 21 0 Now, do you have a form letter that you send out at 22 Citizens from, say, 2007 to the present that indicates 23 that you are not going to be telling them what benefits

No, I don't have a form that says that.

they're entitled to?

24

25

Α

Page 57 1 Do you have a form letter that you send out that says Q 2 you need to hire an attorney, we're not going to tell 3 you what benefits you're entitled to? Α No. 5 Do you have a form letter that says, don't trust this or 6 believe anything we say to you, we're in an adversarial 7 relationship? 8 Α No. 9 Do you have any letter that you send out that in any way Q 10 informs the insureds that your interests with respect to 11 that claim are adverse to the insureds? 12 Α No. 13 In fact, what you do is send out letters to promote Q. 14 trust with the insured in the claims process? 15 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 16 the question. 17 BY MR. McKENNA: Is that correct? 19 I don't know if it's to promote trust, I think it's to 20 basically outline the process of their claim and the 21 benefits they're entitled to. 22 And the purpose of doing that is to establish a trusting Q 23 relationship with the insured? 24 Α Yes. 25 MS. McALLISTER: The same objection.

Page 58 1 BY MR. McKENNA: Q If you didn't want a trusting relationship with the insured you could send out a letter that said don't trust us? 5 Α Yes. 6 Hire a lawyer? Q 7 Д Yes. 8 We're not going to tell you what you're entitled to, you Q 9 better get legal representation? 10 Α Yes. 11 0 You don't send out those types of letters to your 12 insureds, do you? 13 No. А 14 It is your intention that when you send letters to your Q 15 insureds that you expect them to trust and have 16 confidence in you, yourself as an adjuster, unit PIP 17 manager, PIP manager, or the company in general; is that 18 correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 MS. McALLISTER: Again, object to the 21 form of the question, and it's been asked and answered. 22 BY MR. MCKENNA: 23 And when you train adjusters at the company, you train 0 24 them to do those things with respect to establishing

that rapport, that trust, that confidence in the

25

```
Page 59
  1
           adjuster and the company?
  2
      Α
           Yes.
  3
      Q
           Now, with respect to the forms, and I call them forms,
           form letters, are you as the PIP manager responsible for
  5
           the language that goes into those form letters?
  6
      Α
           No.
           Who creates those form letters in the hierarchy that we
  8
           discussed earlier at Citizens?
  9
                           MS. McALLISTER: Are we talking about a
10
           specific document, because there's probably a lot --
11
                          MR. McKENNA:
                                         Medical transportation
12
           letter.
13
                          MS. McALLISTER:
                                            Medical mileage?
14
                          MR. McKENNA:
                                         Yes or -- well, why don't
15
          we do this: Why don't we agree to call it what we can
16
          call it and we'll move on.
17
     BY MR. MCKENNA:
18
          I call it medical transportation because that's what, in
19
          fact, it is, or you can call it medical mileage.
20
          one are you comfortable with?
21
          Well, I call it medical mileage because there's other
     Α
22
          means of transportation that we reimburse that really
23
          isn't medical mileage.
24
          Well, mileage is a component of a transportation claim?
25
          It's a component, correct, correct.
```

| | • | Page 60 |
|----|------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Q | So what I'm talking about, you don't know when an |
| 2 | | insured makes a claim what the method of the claim |
| 3 | | reimbursement is going to be. What you tell them is |
| 4 | | there is a benefit called medical transportation, |
| 5 | | correct? |
| 6 | А | Correct. |
| 7 | Q | And that could include hiring a bus? |
| 8 | A | Correct. |
| 9 | Q | Getting a van? |
| 10 | А | Yes. |
| 11 | Q | Getting an ambulance? |
| 12 | А | Yes. |
| 13 | Q | When it comes to a claim for mileage you have a separate |
| 14 | | form, a standardized form that you use, correct? |
| 15 | А | Correct. |
| 16 | Q | But you have a general form that you also use when |
| 17 | | there's a claim, if I'm understanding you, that explains |
| 18 | an a sain ann ann an Aire an | to insureds that you're entitled to certain benefits as |
| 19 | | a result of your accident including wage loss or |
| 20 | | replacement services, things like that; is that correct? |
| 21 | А | Right. They're all different forms, yes. |
| 22 | Q | And what I want to make sure we're on the same page is, |
| 23 | | you don't know when a claim starts and you send out a |
| 24 | | generalized form letter whether the means or method for |
| 25 | | the claim for mileage or transportation is going to be |

| , | | |
|----|----|--|
| | | Page 61 |
| 1 | | for a van, or an ambulance, or reimbursement for someone |
| 2 | | driving them? |
| 3 | А | Correct. |
| 4 | Q | So when you get to the point that it is a medical |
| 5 | | mileage claim you have a standardized form, that |
| 6 | | standardized form letter that's sent out, who approves |
| 7 | | the language for that document? |
| 8 | А | That would be our corporate legal. |
| 9 | Q | Okay. So when we go up the hierarchy, who is it that |
| 10 | | creates that form? |
| 11 | А | The mileage form would have been Karen Malone, I think |
| 12 | | was the one that had drafted I mean, prior to her I |
| 13 | • | don't know, but I'm talking specifically when we had |
| 14 | | changed our rate she was the one that drafted the actual |
| 15 | | form or change in form, and then it was then approved |
| 16 | | through our corporate legal, and I don't know who |
| 17 | | specifically that would have been. |
| 18 | Q | Would you agree with me that the form was something that |
| 19 | | came out after the decision to pay a flat rate was |
| 20 | | established? |
| 21 | А | No, we always had the forms. At least from when I |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Q | started the company I know the forms existed. |
| 24 | ×. | I was provided a document that is Bates numbered 05952, |
| 25 | A | and I'll show that to you. Do you see that? |
| | A | Yes. |

Page 62 Do you see who that document is from? 0 2 Α Yes. 0 Who is it from? Α Yvonne Rogers. And who is it to? 0 Patricia Karen Malone. 7 Okay. In terms of hierarchy or position, who would have Q 8 been in a supervisory position? 9 Α Patricia -- or Karen Malone was Yvonne Rogers' 10 supervisor. 11 Now, this is a memo from Yvonne that says -- oh, by the Q 12 way, can you tell me what date that is? 13 Α May 25th, 2007. 14 Okay. And the policy to pay the flat rate for medical Q 15 mileage was instituted in January, five months earlier? 16 Α Correct. So this letter, attachment says, medical mileage letter 18 draft doc., which I don't think --19 MR. McKENNA: Do you have a copy of that? 20 MS. McALLISTER: Yes. It's Defendant's 21 05953. 22 MR. McKENNA: I don't have that on here. 23 Mine ends at 952. 24 MS. McALLISTER: No, it should go through 25 5955.

| 1 | |
|----|---|
| | Page 63 |
| 1 | MR. McKENNA: Well, I'm just telling you, |
| 2 | there's the staple and everything. I only have it |
| 3 | through 952. Do you have a copy of the other ones? |
| 4 | MS. McALLISTER: I can let you review my |
| 5 | copy. That's my original. We could maybe make a copy. |
| 6 | MR. McKENNA: Do you have 53? Is this it |
| 7 | here? |
| 8 | MS. McALLISTER: 53, yes. |
| 9 | MR. McKENNA: Okay. Do you mind if we |
| 10 | put a sticker on these and make them an exhibit? |
| 11 | MS. McALLISTER: No, that would be fine. |
| 12 | MR. McKENNA: Why don't you do that with |
| 13 | those three, please. |
| 14 | (Whereupon Deposition Exhibit |
| 15 | Number 2 was marked for identification |
| 16 | by the Court Reporter and attached |
| 17 | to the transcript.) |
| 18 | |
| 19 | (Whereupon Deposition Exhibit |
| 20 | Number 3 was marked for identification |
| 21 | by the Court Reporter and attached |
| 22 | to the transcript.) |
| 23 | |
| 24 | MS. McALLISTER: I think the second two |
| 25 | pages is just one e-mail that continues to a second |
| _ | page. |

| | Page 64 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. McKENNA: That's fine. Just so we |
| 2 | can identify it. |
| 3 | MS. McALLISTER: All that's on there is |
| 4 | the e-mail logo. Do you need a separate sticker on |
| 5 | that? |
| 6 | MR. McKENNA: Yeah, I don't have them. I |
| 7 | want to be able to |
| 8 | MS. McALLISTER: All right. Well, they |
| 9 | were in the packet that I brought to court. |
| 10 | MR. McKENNA: This is that packet. I'm |
| 11 | not telling you that that's the original staple. I |
| 12 | don't know what would have happened. |
| 13 | MS. McALLISTER: Because we talked about |
| 14 | it in hand and went over it that day in court. But |
| 15 | anyway, let's proceed. I put the 592 in front of her. |
| 16 | (Whereupon Deposition Exhibit |
| 17 | Number 4 was marked for identification |
| 18 | by the Court Reporter and attached |
| 19 | to the transcript.) |
| 20 | |
| 21 | (Whereupon Deposition Exhibit |
| 22 | Number 5 was marked for identification |
| 23 | by the Court Reporter and attached |
| 24 | to the transcript.) |
| 25 | /// |

Page 65

- BY MR. McKENNA:
- 2 Q 592 is the document that says in May regarding a medical
- mileage draft from Karen, attached is a draft of the
- medical mileage letter with the language we discussed.
- 5 So this is a document, it's evidencing a creation of the
- medical mileage form letter we talked about?
- ⁷ A Uh-huh.
- Q Is that correct? You have to say --
- 9 A Oh, I'm sorry. To me, I'm assuming this is a revision
- to, because we had one previously.
- 11 Q Is this letter evidencing the creation of a medical
- mileage form letter document?
- ¹³ A Yes.
- Q And it's taking place in May?
- A Yes, that's the date.
- Q Do you have either in my client's claim file or
- somewhere else the medical mileage form for the flat
- rate medical mileage form that was being used from
- January, 2007 until sometime after this revision
- occurred?
- 21 A Yes, I believe -- I thought I had saw it a minute ago.
- MS. McALLISTER: Yes, we produced that in
- the first set.
- BY MR. McKENNA:
- Q Well, I'm not trying to play games with you, but I have

| | | Page 66 |
|----|------|---|
| 1 | | a document that's called 05950 and that's from someone, |
| 2 | | Carl Burkiel (phonetically) |
| 3 | A | Oh, April Burkiel (ph.)? |
| 4 | Q | April Burkiel (ph.) ? |
| 5 | | MS. McALLISTER: I'm sorry, what is the |
| 6 | | Bates? |
| 7 | | MR. McKENNA: It's 05950. |
| 8 | | MS. McALLISTER: Okay. |
| 9 | BY M | R. McKENNA: |
| 10 | Q | Is that the form file letter that would have been used |
| 11 | | after January of 2007 and before the 05952 revision? |
| 12 | A | Yes, this is the letter I saw and had a copy of, yes. |
| 13 | Q | And |
| 14 | | MS. McALLISTER: And by "this," you're |
| 15 | | referring to 5950? |
| 16 | | THE WITNESS: Yes, correct. |
| 17 | | MR. McKENNA: I thought I identified it. |
| 18 | | That's fine. |
| 19 | BY M | R. McKENNA: |
| 20 | Q | With respect to what I've marked as Exhibit Number 3 |
| 21 | | from today's date, 05953, this is the attachment that's |
| 22 | | referred to in 05952, the e-mail from Yvonne Rogers to |
| 23 | | Patricia Karen Malone, Friday, May 25th, 2007, and it |
| 24 | | says, to whom it may concern, the Michigan No-Fault |
| 25 | | Statute provides that you are to be reimbursed for |

Page 67 1 eligible medical mileage. Effective we will be utilizing 2007 guidelines for determining the medical 3 mileage. Citizens will reimburse at twenty cents per If you have additional information to support greater costs associated with your medical mileage, 6 please submit proofs for further consideration? 7 Α This is a form that doesn't go out on all PIP 8 That's the one that goes out with our mileage 9 form at that time when it was changed. 10 0 Okay. So just so I'm clear, 05950 is the letter that 11 goes out? 12 Α Yes. 13 And this revision document, Exhibit Number 3, does not 0 14 go out? 15 Α This is used if we have a question that comes Correct. 16 up regarding what we're reimbursing. This is a template 17 letter that the adjusters use to then send out advising 18 that they can submit additional information. 19 Let's see if I got this. The document 05950 is the form Q 20 letter for medical mileage transportation expenses that 21 was being used since January, 2007 policy change, 22 correct? 23 Α Correct. And if I'm understanding you correct, this is the same 24 25 document sent today that hasn't changed, except to the

Page 68 1 extent there's a different number in there for the 2 amount? Α Correct. And the change to that document for the amount is something that you do right now currently? б I would make sure that's changed, yes. 7 With respect to 05953, which has been marked Exhibit 3 8 for today, this document does not go out to the insureds 9 unless there is a disagreement or some problem with 10 paying the amount in 05950? 11 Correct. Α 12 So would you agree -- and do you have a copy of 05950 13 that you could look at? 14 MS. McALLISTER: I believe it's a two-15 page document as we produced it, Counsel. 16 MR. McKENNA: Yeah, I'm only talking 17 about the letter. 18 MS. McALLISTER: But they both go out. 19 MR. McKENNA: That's great. I'm only 20 talking about the letter. 21 MS. Mcallister: Okay. 22 BY MR. McKENNA: 23 I'm only talking about the Bates number I talked about, 24 05950, okay? 25 Α Yes.

| | | Page 69 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | Q | Now, I want to show you so you can have it for side-by- |
| 2 | | side comparison, 05953, Exhibit 3 from today's date, |
| 3 | | this paragraph on Exhibit 3, the Michigan No-Fault do |
| 4 | | you see that? |
| 5 | A | Yes. |
| 6 | Q | Down to where it says, twenty cents per mile? |
| 7 | А | Yes. |
| 8 | Q | Would you agree that that very same paragraph is |
| 9 | | included in 05950? |
| 10 | А | Yes, it is. |
| 11 | Q | And 05950, the letter that you send out, says to |
| 12 | | insureds that have met the foundational requirements, |
| 13 | | injured arising out of the use, operation or maintenance |
| 14 | | of a vehicle as a vehicle, reasonable and necessary |
| 15 | | related for care, rehabilitation, recovery, we're going |
| 16 | | to pay you how much per mile? |
| 17 | А | This letter was twenty cents per mile. |
| 18 | Q | And you're going to pay that you're telling the |
| 19 | | insureds with this letter that you're going to pay that |
| 20 | | and they don't have to submit any proofs other than how |
| 21 | | many miles they drove? |
| 22 | А | Correct. |
| 23 | Q | And you're saying that to them and you're expecting them |
| 24 | | to believe that the information contained on here is |
| 25 | | true? |
| | | |

Page 70 1 Α Correct. 2 And you're sending that out with the expectation that 0 3 they believe you? Α Yes. 5 And you're sending that out with the expectation that 6 they trust what is contained on that document is 7 accurate? 8 Α Yes. 9 And you mention in the document the Michigan No-Fault 0 10 Statute? 11 Α Yes. 12 So this is an adjuster sending out a document to an \circ 13 insured, typically the insured would be a non-lawyer, 14 correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 Typically the adjuster is not a lawyer? 0 17. Α Correct. But here the adjuster is sending out a letter to the 18 Q 19 insured mentioning a legal statute? 20 Α Yes. And not only is mentioning the legal statute, but it's 21 0 22 saying that the Michigan No-Fault Statute provides that 23 you're to be reimbursed for eligible medical mileage 24 once you've determined those foundational requirements, 25 that's every Citizens' insured, correct?

Page 71 1 Α Correct. Then it says, utilizing the 2007 guidelines. Would you agree that if you didn't know anything as an adjuster or weren't a lawyer that when you read those two sentences 5 together, the Michigan No-Fault Statute provides, and then utilizing the 2007 guidelines, that that could be 7 construed by an insured to mean that those guidelines 8 are in the Michigan No-Fault Act? MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 10 the question. You're asking her to speculate as to what 11 others would believe. 12 BY MR. MCKENNA: 13 I'm asking you what you would believe if you didn't know Q 14 anything different as an adjuster. I mean, you know, 15 for example -- let me ask you this way: 16 You know there are no 2007 quidelines in 17 the Michigan No-Fault Act? 18 Α Me, personally? 19 0 Yes. 20 Α No. 21 0 You know that, right? 22 Α Yes, I know that. 23 What I'm saying to you is if you didn't have your job as 24 an adjuster in the past, and the PIP manager, and PIP 25 unit manager and you were to read those two sentences

Page 72 1 together, would you believe that that utilizing 2007 2 guidelines was referring to something that's in the 3 Michigan No-Fault Act? MS. McALLISTER: Same objection. 5 THE WITNESS: I could. 6 BY MR. MCKENNA: 7 Okay. Is that something that was intended on the part 8 of Citizens to have the insureds, when they read this letter, believe that utilizing the 2007 guidelines was 10 referring to something in the Michigan No-Fault Statute? 11 Objection to the form of MS. McALLISTER: 12 the question. She testified she wasn't involved in 13 making that decision. 14 BY MR. MCKENNA: 15 Go ahead. 0 16 I wouldn't know their intent when they did this letter Α 17 because I wasn't involved in that. 18 0 Do you remember earlier we talked about dairying and 19 communication being clear and concise and unambiguous? 20 Α Yes. 21 When you send form letters to the insureds do you have 22 the same belief that the form letters that you send out 23 should be clear and concise and unambiguous? 24 Α Yes. 25 And it would be reasonable to be clear, concise and

Page 73 1 unambiquous? 2 Α Yes. We talked earlier about what reasonable is and there's 0 repercussions under the No-Fault Act about reasonable, correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 If you act unreasonably there are penalties for it, 8 correct? 9 А Yes. 10 And you testified that at the insurance companies you 0 11 worked at, including Citizens, that you have either been 12 trained or currently train people that to be reasonable 13 means to be fair? 14 Α Yes. 15 Would you agree that the opposite of reasonable would be Q 16 unreasonable? 17 Α Yes. 18 Therefore, the opposite of fair would be unfair? 19 А Yes. 20 And would you agree it would be unfair to mislead your Q 21 insureds? 22 Α Yes. 23 Would you agree that stating that utilizing the 2007 24 guidelines in that letter after the sentence with the 25 Michigan No-Fault Statute provides could be misleading?

Page 74 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 1 the question. Again, it requires speculation. 3 BY MR. McKENNA: 4 I'm only asking you. 0 5 MS. McALLISTER: Well, you're asking her based on your argument as to what you think the letter 6 means. 8 BY MR. MCKENNA: 9 I'm asking you, ma'am -- it's a very clear question --0 10 do you think that could be misleading? 11 T think --Α 12 Same objection. MS. McALLISTER: I believe it could be 13 THE WITNESS: 14 interpreted many ways. I can't say how people would 15 interpret this. 16 BY MR. McKENNA: Do you remember earlier when we talked about what clear 17 0 and concise and unambiguous means? 18 19 A Yes. You have a four-year -- you're college educated, high 20 0 21 school graduate, right? 22 Α Yes. 23 You know what the words clear, concise and unambiguous 24 means? 25 Α Yes.

Page 75 1 Would you say that that document is clear, concise and 0 unambiguous as it relates to whether or not the Michigan 3 No-Fault Act has a 2007 guideline in it? 4 Α It may not be clear to some people. 5 And your responsibility in charge of unit PIP managers 6 and adjusters is to make sure -- we talked about earlier 7 when something's brought to your attention -- that all of your insureds are treated reasonable, fairly, correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 0 So when -- you have seen this document for at least the 12 last two years, two-and-a-half years? 13 Α Yes. 14 0 And you've read it? 15 Α Yes. 16 And so it's something that was available to you to read 17 and look at before today? 18 Α Yes. 19 Are you telling me that today's the first time you 20 looked at that and thought that that document could be 21 unclear, non-concise and ambiguous? 22 Well, you're asking me if it could be interpreted that Α 23 way. I mean, I can't speculate how people would, I'm 24 saying it could be. 25 Well, but see, your responsibility is to do what you Q

Page 76 1 think in a supervisory role is fair. Well, my responsibility isn't wording our legal letters. 2 3 I didn't say it was. Α Okay. 5 And again, please don't change my questions. 6 Α Okay. Your responsibility is to ensure that the insureds are 7 0 8 being treated fairly and reasonably? 9 Α Yes. And you want to make sure that the information is clear, 10 Q 11 concise and unambiguous? 12 Yes. Α So as a college educated woman who has been doing this 13 0 job for a number of years with different companies, you 14 recognize by reading that that it can be interpreted in 15 more than one way with respect to whether the No-Fault 16 Statute provides for a 2007 guideline? 17 MS. McALLISTER: Same objection as to the 18 19 form of the question. 20 You can answer. 21 BY MR. MCKENNA: 22 0 Go ahead. 23 Α Yes. Now, I understand you didn't approve the language, 24 25 correct?

Page 77 1 Α Correct. 2 But your responsibility, you told me before, was that if you see something being done that's unreasonable or unfair you either fix it or let somebody else know so 5 that they can fix it, correct? 6 Α Correct. 7 And in looking at this document today and realizing 8 today what you've said, that it is something that's 9 capable of being misinterpreted, unclear, ambiguous, 10 your responsibility as a PIP manager would be to make 11 sure that all of these documents are clear, are concise 12 and unambiguous, correct? 13 А Yes. 14 So would your responsibility as the PIP manager include 15 making a request for these documents to be changed so 16 that the insureds aren't misled? 17 Α Yes. 18 In doing your job, are you going to request that this 0 19 document be changed? 20 Α I can certainly bring this up, yes. 21 Q I'm not asking you if you could, I'm asking you will 22 you? 23 Yes. Α 24 And you will do that because as part of your job you 25 don't want to have people be cheated out of benefits

```
Page 78
 1
          they're entitled to, do you?
                          MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of
 3
           the question.
     BY MR. McKENNA:
 5
           Do you?
 6
     Α
           No.
 7
                          VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record.
 8
           This marks the end of tape number one. The time is
           3:52:35 p.m.
10
                     (Whereupon a recess was held
11
                     in the proceedings from 3:52 p.m.
12
                     to 3:58 p.m.)
13
                          VIDEO TECHNICIAN:
                                             Back on the record.
14
           This marks the beginning of tape number two. The time
15
           is 3:58:45 p.m.
16
     BY MR. McKENNA:
17
           Before we went off the record we were talking about
18
           Exhibit 0950, or Bates number, forgive me, and Exhibit
19
           Number 3 which Counsel was kind enough to give me a copy
20
           of is undated, correct?
21
           Correct.
     Α
22
           And we were doing a side-by-side comparison earlier,
     0
23
           that this paragraph, the first paragraph is included in
24
           05950?
25
           That's correct.
     Α
```

Page 79 1 Now, from just a purely cost standpoint, if you're Q 2 already going to be creating a document and sending it to insureds as a form, would you agree it doesn't cost any more to type in a few extra words, for example, 5 another sentence? Α To my knowledge, no. 7 So would you agree that with respect to the information that you send in these form letters, you already said you want to establish this special relationship of trust 10 and confidence with your insureds, correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 And you want to then have them trust and believe 13 everything you send them, correct? 14 MS. McALLISTER: Objection, that is not 15 what she said. 16 BY MR. McKENNA: 17 You want to have them trust and rely on everything you 18 send them, don't you? 19 MS. McALLISTER: Same objection. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 BY MR. McKENNA: 22 Well, it wouldn't make much sense to send out paperwork 23 if you didn't expect anybody to read it and believe it, 24 would it? 25 Α Correct.

Page 80 1 So from the standpoint of preparing these form letters 2 and things that get sent out, you individually, your PIP unit managers, and your adjusters, and the company expect the people to read what you send them and rely on 5 it, don't you? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 Or you wouldn Et send it, would you? 8 А Correct. 9 0 It would be kind of stupid to waste the time and money 10 to send stuff out that you didn't expect anybody to 11 read, trust, and believe in, correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 Now, with respect to a form document, when you send it Q 14 out you're telling the insureds what they're entitled 1.5 to? 16 А Yes. 17 And 05950 is telling them that you will pay at that time 18 twenty cents, currently it's, what, twenty-seven cents? 19 Α Yes. 20 So what you're telling them is if you have mileage, send 0 21 us the number of miles and we will pay you at a 22 multiplication factor of point two zero or point two 23 seven, whatever the rate is, correct? 24 Α Correct. 25 Q And you don't have to submit anything to us, we're going

| | | Page 81 |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | | to give that to you, correct? |
| 2 | A | Correct. |
| 3 | Q | And if they read that letter, you want them to read it, |
| 4 | | you want them to trust it, correct? |
| 5 | A | Correct. |
| 6 | Q | So in reading this form letter, when we get to this |
| 7 | | utilizing the 2007 guidelines for determining the rate |
| 8 | | of mileage, would it cost anything to put in this |
| 9 | | document the words, IRS guidelines? |
| 10 | А | To my knowledge, no. |
| 11 | Q | That's just three little letters, right? |
| 12 | А | Correct. |
| 13 | Q | And that would go a long way to clearing up an ambiguity |
| 14 | • | as to whether or not there was guidelines in the |
| 15 | | Michigan No-Fault Act? |
| 16 | | MS. McALLISTER: Objection, it assumes |
| 17 | | that there is ambiguity. We don't agree with your |
| 18 | | presumption. |
| 19 | | MR. McKENNA: Oh, I think we've already |
| 20 | | established that. |
| 21 | BY M | MR. McKENNA: |
| 22 | Q | But wouldn't you agree that putting in IRS in front of |
| 23 | | guidelines or utilizing the 2007 guidelines would make a |
| 24 | | distinction between what is or is not in the No-Fault |
| 25 | | Act and what is an IRS guideline? |

Page 82

- 1 A It could, yes.
- 2 Q By not putting it on there it makes it capable of being
- misinterpreted as being guidelines from the No-Fault
- Act, doesn't it?
- 5 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of
- 6 the question.
- THE WITNESS: I mean, I think it's clear
- but it could be interpreted that way by someone else.
- 9 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 10 Q Well, by definition -- again, you're a four-year college
- student, got a degree, you know what is ambiguous and
- you know what's unambiguous, correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q And these are all supposed to -- to be reasonable, these
- should all be unambiguous, these forms?
- 16 A Yes.
- MS. McALLISTER: Object to the extent
- you're asking for a legal conclusion.
- 19 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 20 O We've already established, I'm only asking you as an
- adjuster, PIP manager, PIP unit manager these questions,
- 22 not as a lawyer, right?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q And in that capacity you want to be reasonable -- to
- comply with the No-Fault Act and the Statute, you want

Page 83 1 your actions as a company, as a manager, as a unit manager, as an adjuster to be unambiguous and not misleading, correct? 4 Α Correct. 5 And it wouldn't cost any more money to print in here utilizing the IRS 2007 guidelines for medical mileage, would it? MS. McALLISTER: Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: Right; to my knowledge, no. 10 BY MR. MCKENNA: 11 And it wouldn't cost any more money to tell the insureds 0 12 when we go to Exhibit 3 a sentence that you've already 13 typed that says if you have additional information to 14 support a greater cost associated with your medical 15 mileage, please submit proofs for further consideration? 16 Correct. Α 17 Somebody made a decision not to put that sentence in Q 18 this document, 05950, correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 0 Who did that? 21 Д I don't know. 22 Would you agree with me that you want to give all of the 23 information to an insured regarding their claim that you 24 have? 25 Α Correct.

| | | Page 84 |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | | MS. McALLISTER: I object to the form of |
| 2 | | the question. What do you mean, all the information |
| 3 | | that she has |
| 4 | | MR. McKENNA: Yes. |
| 5 | | MS. McALLISTER: as an adjuster that's |
| 6 | | been trained? |
| 7 | | MR. McKENNA: Yes. |
| 8 | | MS. McALLISTER: How is she supposed to |
| 9 | | do a download of her brain? You said all the |
| 10 | | information that she has. |
| 11 | | MR. McKENNA: Well, you know what, if you |
| 12 | | want to play games this could go a lot longer. |
| 13 | | MS. McALLISTER: Well, I think you're |
| 14 | | playing games. |
| 15 | BY 1 | MR. McKENNA: |
| 16 | Q | I'm only referring to the information you have regarding |
| 17 | | benefits and what they're entitled to and how to go |
| 18 | | about getting those benefits. You certainly don't tell |
| 19 | | people how to bake things from your favorite recipe when |
| 20 | | they talk to you when they're making claims, do you? |
| 21 | А | No. |
| 22 | Q | You utilize specific information that is appropriate to |
| 23 | | the task at hand, correct? |
| 24 | А | Correct. |
| 25 | Q | So when I'm talking about giving them the information |

Page 85 1 you have -- we talked about that at the very beginning 2 of the deposition; do you remember that? 3 Yes. -- you want to provide all of the information regarding 5 claims and how to make them and what benefits they're 6 entitled in a non-misleading way? 7 Α Correct. And would you agree that 05950 doesn't tell insureds 0 9 that they're entitled to more than twenty cents? 10 Α Correct. 11 And would you agree that reading 05950 would tell the 0 12 insureds that this is what you are paying consistent 13 with the Michigan No-Fault Act and some 2007 guideline 14 that you don't identify? 15 Α Correct. 16 It doesn't say anything on there about submitting Q 17 proofs? 18 Α That's correct. 19 But you know, you personally know, that they're entitled Q 20 to make claims beyond twenty cents? 21 А That's correct. 22 And you know personally how they are to go about making 0 23 those claims beyond twenty cents? 24 Α Correct. 25 But you personally have been looking at this form for

```
Page 86
          over two-and-a-half years and haven't done anything to
1
          put that information in that form letter to those
3
          insureds, have you?
          No.
     Α
          But somebody at your company did, at least as it relates
5
          to Exhibit 3, they added this sentence, if you have
6
          additional information to support a greater cost
7
          associated with your medical mileage, please submit
8
          proofs for further consideration, correct?
 9
10
          Correct.
     Α
           It didn't cost anything more to put that on this piece
11
     0
          of paper than it would on 05950, did it?
12
13-
     А
           No.
                          MS. McALLISTER: Asked and answered.
14
15
     BY MR. MCKENNA:
           So if you put that sentence from Exhibit Number 3,
16
      0
           05953, on 05950 you would be providing that information
17
           to the insureds making medical claims telling them that
18
           they don't have to take just twenty cents a mile, they
19
           can submit proofs and get more?
20
21
           Correct.
      Α
           But you didn't do that?
22
      Q
23
      Α
           No, I did not.
           Well, and you have not asked that that be cleared up,
24
25
           either?
```

Page 87 1 Objection, it assumes MS. McALLISTER: that she thought it was ambiguous. 3 BY MR. McKENNA: 0 Is that correct? You have not requested that this form, 5 05950, be changed in any way, correct? 6 Α No, I have not requested that. 7 0 You told me earlier that you were going to request that 8 05950 be changed to make sure that there's no ambiguity regarding what guidelines they're talking about, 10 correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 Now, I'm going to ask you the same question with respect 0 13 to 05953 in this one sentence in Exhibit 3. If that one 14 sentence was included in 05950 it would be very clear, 15 very unambiguous, very concisely stated that you don't 16 have to take this twenty cents we're talking about? 17 Yes. Α 1.8 But without that sentence in this document what you're 19 sending off to your insureds doesn't give them any 20 indication that they can make a claim for more than 21 twenty cents? 22 Objection, to the extent MS. McALLISTER: 23 you assume it's required. 24 BY MR. McKENNA: 25 Is that correct? Q

Page 88 1 А Right, it's not in the letter, no. 2 Q And you expect them to read this and follow this 3 document, 05950? MS. McALLISTER: Asked and answered. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. McKENNA: And if they don't -- strike that. 8 If they wanted additional information --9 strike that. 10 If they wanted additional monies for 11 medical mileage, this document doesn't tell them that 12 they're entitled to it or even how to get it, correct? 13 Α Correct. With the inclusion of one sentence that was already 14 15 written on an undated document by someone at Citizens on 16 05953, Exhibit Number 3, they would be told? 17 Α Yes. 18 Now, I asked you earlier about making a change to the 19 document to identify what these guidelines are. 20 job, this was pointed out to you today for the first 21 time? 22 А This letter is a separate letter that's sent, so --23 I understand. 0 24 Α Right.

But it's been pointed out to you today that this

25

| ٠ | | Page 89 |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | | sentence isn't included on this document and that makes |
| 2 | | it even further misleading to your insureds about what |
| 3 | | they're entitled to or how to go about getting what |
| 4 | | they're entitled to, doesn't it? |
| 5 | A | Right. |
| 6 | | MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of |
| 7 | | the question. |
| 8 | | MR. McKENNA: Did you get the answer? |
| 9 | | THE WITNESS: Right. I knew this was not |
| 10 | | in here, in this letter. The 05953, that sentence was |
| 11 | | not in there. |
| 12 | BY M | IR. McKENNA: |
| 13 | Q | My question was, it was pointed out to you today for the |
| 14 | | first time that without this sentence in 05950 it could |
| 15 | | be misleading and ambiguous to the insureds in not |
| 16 | | giving them the information about how to get more than |
| 17 | | twenty cents per mile? |
| 18 | | MS. McALLISTER: Object to form. |
| 19 | | THE WITNESS: Yes, because you're raising |
| 20 | | that, that it is ambiguous. So, yes, that was our |
| 21 | | discussion today. |
| 22 | BY M | IR. MCKENNA: |
| 23 | Q | Right, and so now I'm going to ask you the next |
| 24 | | question. Because that sentence isn't in there, are you |
| 25 | | also going to ask at Citizens that they change the form |

| | • | Page 90 |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | | to include this sentence so that all of the insureds |
| 2 | | know that they don't have to take just twenty cents per |
| 3 | | mile? |
| 4 | А | Yes, this is something I can elevate, yes. |
| 5 | Q | And it's something that when you say elevate, as opposed |
| 6 | | to just saying, hey, there was a lawyer who took my |
| 7 | | deposition and he pointed out these two things, do you |
| 8 | | believe the insureds are entitled to have these |
| 9 | | documents be clear, concise and unambiguous? |
| 10 | А | Yes. |
| 11 | Q | Do you believe that including this sentence from Exhibit |
| 12 | | Number 3, 05953, would let the insureds know in a clear, |
| 13 | | concise and unambiguous manner that they don't have to |
| 14 | | take that set amount? |
| 15 | A | Yes. |
| 16 | Q | Can you think of a valid insurance reason that that |
| 17 | | sentence was never included in this form? |
| 18 | | MS. McALLISTER: Object to foundation. |
| 19 | | THE WITNESS: I don't know. |
| 20 | BY 1 | MR. McKENNA: |
| 21 | Q | Would you agree that people not reading this won't know |
| 22 | | your general insureds, typical insureds, reading this |
| 23 | | document without that extra sentence from Exhibit 3 |
| 24 | | wouldn't know about how to make a claim if even one |
| 25 | | existed for additional money over twenty cents per mile? |

```
Page 91
 1
                          MS. McALLISTER: Objection, lack of
 2
           foundation, speculation.
 3
                          THE WITNESS: Correct, unless they called
          and had an issue with what we were paying.
 5
     BY MR. MCKENNA:
          Well, the way this is written, they're being told that
 6
          this is all we're going to pay you is twenty cents,
 8
          isn't it?
 9
     Д
          Uh-huh.
10
     0
          Is that correct?
11
     Α
          Yes.
12
          It doesn't say we're in negotiations, does it?
13
     Α
          Correct.
          It doesn't say our opening offer is twenty cents, does
14
15
          it?
16
     A
          Correct.
          It doesn't say our baseline is twenty cents, does it?
17
     Q
          Correct. I'm sorry, can I back up? The baseline, yes,
18
     Α
19
          would be our twenty cents.
20
          No, I'm sorry. It doesn't use the term baseline?
     Q
21
     Α
          No.
          It doesn't suggest to people, like you're going to ABC
22
     Q
23
          Warehouse and it's three ninety-nine or less, make your
24
          best offer?
25
     Α
          Correct.
```

Page 92 So there's no negotiation with us, give us your best offer and we'll see what happens in this, is there? 3 Correct. Α This is telling them, this is our policy supported by 5 the No-Fault act, and some utilized 2007 guidelines that 6 you don't identify? 7 Correct. By the way, there's no mention in 05950 of this being a 8 starting point? 10 That's correct. Α So I can move on to another area, would you agree that 11 reading this in the way that it's written, your 12 expectation is that you're insureds are going to be 13 making claims by giving you total number of miles, and 14 then you're going to do a math calculation and pay them 15 based on those miles? 16 17 Α Correct. Not an invitation to them to submit proofs for a 18 0 19 reimbursement rate above twenty cents? 20 Correct. Α 21 Now, at Citizens do you have a department called fraud 0 22 investigation unit, or claims investigation unit? Special investigations unit. 23 Α 24 SIU? 0 25 SIU. Α

Page 93 1 Q All right. Everybody has a different acronym. Do you know how many people work in SIU? 3 A No. 0 Have you ever dealt with SIU? 5 Α Yes. 0 As an adjuster or as a manager? 7 Α At Citizens as a manager. SIU is a department that you have that's set up that 0 when there's a suspicion of fraud SIU gets involved to 10 investigate; is that correct? 11 Α That could be one example, yes. 12 Q Would you agree that the SIU department is set up to 13 catch fraud being perpetrated by insureds and/or 14 providers? 15 Α It could be either, yes. 16 But that's the two types or categories of people that 0 17 they investigate? 18 Α No, there could be more. Well, yes, it would be 19 provider, I'm sorry. 20 It's either one or the other. 0 21 No, I'm sorry. I was thinking something else, but it Α

Those that render a service and the insured?

falls into the provider category. Sorry.

There's only two types of claimants.

22

23

24

25

0

Α

Q

Yes.

Page 94

- ¹ A Correct.
- Q What's the name of the SIU department that investigates
- fraud by adjusters?
- 4 A I don't know. Well, Department of Insurance Bureau
- 5 would get involved if there was allegations.
- 6 Q No, no, no. I'm only talking at Citizens.
- 7 A Oh, at Citizens?
- 9 Yes. You have this big department called SIU?
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q They investigate providers and they investigate
- insureds?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q What's the name of the department at Citizens that
- investigates fraud by adjusters?
- 15 A There would be no special investigations unit for that.
- It would be leadership as well as our counsel if there
- was an allegation made.
- Q What was the name of the department that investigates
- fraud by PIP unit managers?
- 20 A Again, it would be leadership involvement as well as
- corporate counsel, would be my guess, if an allegation
- was made.
- Q Let's throw them altogether and maybe we can -- there is
- no department that investigates fraud by adjusters, PIP
- unit managers or PIP managers, is there?

Page 95 1 Α To my knowledge, no. 2 Now, fraud is something you teach and train adjusters 0 3 and unit PIP managers about, isn't it? It's part of your --5 Α Not me specifically, no. 6 Isn't that one of the things that you want to teach them 0 7 about? 8 Α Yes. 9 So in your position, you're responsible to teach people 0 10 that work under you about fraud, or detecting fraud, or 11 what to do if they think there is fraud, correct? 12 To make sure they get training on it, yes. Α 13 0 Okay. And do you train people that fraud is where 14 somebody asks for payment of money from Citizens that 15 they know they're not entitled to? 16 I wouldnæt classify that as fraud, if they're requesting Α 17 a payment. 18 Well, would you consider it fraud to be asked for money Q 19 that you know you're not entitled to get? 20 If we believe there's fraudulent activity involved in Α 21 that claim, yes. 22 Well, that's what I'm trying to get at. Whether it's a Q 23 provider or an insured --24 А Right. 25 --Citizens only has to pay benefits that are reasonable, Q

Page 96 1 necessary and related. 2 Α Right. 3 If they're unreasonable, they're not necessary, or they're not related and people know that and make a 5 claim for money anyway that would be fraud, wouldn't it? 6 Not necessarily. It could be -- I'm just giving you an Α 7 If someone is making a claim for reimbursement example. for a payment, it could be such a thing that we have documentation not to support reasonableness or medical 10 necessity, and it would just simply be a denial of the 11 benefit. 12 I understand that, but for purposes of what fraud is 0 13 there's an intent that follows fraud; would you agree 14 with that? 15 Α I agree. 16 0 And you teach that to your adjusters and your managers, 17 correct? 18 Α Correct. 19 What I'm saying to you is not that you're denying the 0 20 claim, it's not just fraud if you pay it. It can be 21 fraudulent and you catch it and you deny the payment. 22 Correct. Α 23 What I'm talking about from the standpoint of your 24 company and what you train and teach adjusters and 25 managers is that when it's a provider -- let's say for

Page 97 1 example a doctor submits a claim for one hundred visits that never occurred. He knows or she knows they're not entitled to the money but they're claiming it anyway. That would be fraudulent intent, correct? 5 Α Correct. 6 And if an insured, for example, made a claim for mileage 7 or attendant care that never occurred, they know they're not entitled to it and they submit it anyway, that would be fraudulent intent? 10 Α Correct. 11 So would you agree that this fraudulent intent or the 0 12 intent to commit an act of fraud in the insurance 13 context is one where you're asking for money you know 14 you're not entitled to? 15 MS. McALLISTER: Are you asking is that 16 the only, or is that one example? 17 MR. McKENNA: Fair enough. 18 BY MR. MCKENNA: 19 That is one example of an act of fraud, when someone is 0 20 asking for money they know they're not entitled to. 21 Д That's correct. 22 Now, I think what you were getting at earlier is when 23 you said not necessarily, somebody could make a mistake 24 and submit a claim -- they submit a receipt for their 25 heart medicine and they have a broken foot and it was

Page 98 just a mistake. I want to make sure you understand that I'm not saying there's a mistake made. I want you to understand that when I'm asking these questions about fraud that there's intent. No mistake, they know 5 they're not entitled. 6 Α Okay. 7 You train your adjusters and your managers that when 8 they suspect that that type of claim is being made, that they send that claim to the fraud or special 10 investigations unit, correct? 11 Correct. Α And I'm assuming, and this is where the next line of 12 13 questioning is, that you have some procedure or protocol 14 to follow where you want to be advised as their manager that there's a case that they have that went to SIU? 15 16 Correct, the unit managers would, yes. Α 17 Because you want to know on your files that are there because you're going to want to pull that file and check 18 and see if there's other fraud in it as well, correct? 19 20 Α Correct. 21 Now, everything in an insurance company, when we're 22 talking about claims, the rules apply to both parties, 23 don't they? In other words, what's fair for the insured should be fair for the company. What's reasonable for 24 25 the company should be reasonable for the insured,

| | | Page 99 |
|----|-------|---|
| 1 | | correct? |
| 2 | | MS. McALLISTER: Object to that. It's |
| 3 | | without foundation and the form of the question. I |
| 4 | | don't know what you're talking about. |
| 5 | | THE WITNESS: I don't understand. Could |
| 6 | | you be more specific, please? |
| 7 | BY MI | R. McKENNA: |
| 8 | Q | Sure. The No-Fault Act in the policy applies to both |
| 9 | | parties? |
| 10 | А | That's correct. |
| 11 | Q | What is reasonable to one there are reasonable |
| 12 | | requests to the insured under the policy, and there are |
| 13 | | reasonable requests of the insurance company under the |
| 14 | | policy, correct? |
| 15 | A | Correct. |
| 16 | Q | There are reasonable requests under the statute, |
| 17 | | reasonable requests under the statute to both parties? |
| 18 | A | Correct. |
| 19 | Q | So what I'm saying to you is both parties to this |
| 20 | | contract have to act reasonably to one another with |
| 21 | | respect to the claims making process in terms of what |
| 22 | | the policy says and in terms of what the No-Fault Act |
| 23 | | says, correct? |
| 24 | A | Correct. |
| 25 | Q | So if, for example, we go back to with respect to a |

Page 100 1 fraud claim, if you suspect that the insured or the insured's provider is making a request for benefits that they know they're not entitled to and it's been paid, you're going to want your money back, aren't you? 5 Α Correct. 6 0 And when an insured or a provider makes a claim to 7 Citizens, they have the same reasonable expectation that they're going to be paid what they're entitled to, don't they? 10 Α Yes. 11 MS. McALLISTER: Object to foundation. 12 BY MR. McKENNA: 13 Is that correct? 0 14 Α Yes. 15 0 So when, for example, an adjuster at Citizens, a claims 16 PIP unit manager, or claims manager makes a decision 17 with respect to the payment of a benefit that you know 18 an insured is entitled to and you intentionally say no, 19 you're not going to pay it, is that any more or less 20 fraudulent than an insured or provider doing the same 21 thing? 22 Object to the form of MS. McALLISTER: 23 the question. It requires speculation and a legal 24 analysis. 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would have to be

Page 101 1 given a specific example. 2 BY MR. McKENNA: 3 If you are the adjuster, and for reasons known only to you you know this insured is entitled to receive medical payments for transportation or mileage expense, and you say even though I know they're entitled to it, I'm not paying. That insured is being defrauded, aren't they? MS. McALLISTER: The same objections. 9 THE WITNESS: They're not being paid what 10 is owed to them. 11 BY MR. MCKENNA: 12 And they're being defrauded, aren't they? Their 13 entitlement to money that the company is keeping against 14 them, correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 MS. McALLISTER: Again, you're asking for 17 fraud. That is a legal conclusion. 18 MR. McKENNA: I'm not asking for fraud as 19 a legal conclusion. 20 BY MR. MCKENNA: 21 You identify fraud every day at work, don't you? 0 22 Yes. Α 23 I'm only asking you the same thing you identify to the 24 insureds and providers back to the company. If the 25 company by any of its agents or employees refuses to pay

| | • | |
|----|----|--|
| 1 | | Page 102 |
| 2 | | a benefit that the insured is entitled to or a provider |
| | | is entitled to, the company is enriched by not having |
| 3 | | paid that claim, aren't they? |
| 4 | A | If they're withholding benefits, then yes. |
| 5 | Q | Ten dollars on a claim by an insured against the company |
| 6 | | that's fraudulent is no different than ten dollars kept |
| 7 | | by the company from an insured that's fraudulent, is it? |
| 8 | А | If it was intentionally kept. It could be an example |
| 9 | | you said with an adjuster, it could be maybe an |
| 10 | | experienced adjuster who made the wrong decision on a |
| 11 | | claim. |
| 12 | Q | That's why I wanted to make sure when we went down this |
| 13 | | line that we're talking about intent; they know. |
| 14 | А | Right. |
| 15 | Q | An insured slips in by mistake a receipt for a heart |
| 16 | | medication as a prescription. They're not committing an |
| 17 | | act of fraud, it was a mistake and you point it out to |
| 18 | | them, right? |
| 19 | А | Correct. |
| 20 | Q | So you say, we only have to pay what's reasonable, your |
| 21 | ~ | |
| 22 | | heart's not attached to your foot, we're not paying for |
| 23 | 7\ | heart medication for a broken foot. |
| | A | Correct. |
| 24 | Q | Now, what I'm telling you is the same thing the other |
| 25 | | way. I asked you, do the insureds have the reasonable |

Page 103 expectation that the adjusters, the PIP claim manager, unit managers know what benefits that the insureds are entitled to, and you said yes. 4 Α Yes. 5 So what I'm asking you is when you know what benefits 6 people are entitled to and you intentionally don't pay 7 them, whether it's ten dollars or a hundred dollars, 8 that is the same thing as an insured asking for money 9 they're not entitled to when they know they're not 10 entitled to it, isn't it? 11 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 12 the question. 13 BY MR. McKENNA: 14 0 Go ahead. 15 Α It could be, yes. 16 Well, can you think of a situation where it isn't fraud 17 to withhold money from somebody you know that they're 18 entitled to in the context of an insurance claim? 19 MS. McALLISTER: Objection to use of the 20 word fraud. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, to me -- I mean, for 22 fraud we would consider that bad faith, that we're not 23 honoring their benefits in the policy. 24 BY MR. MCKENNA: Is there a difference, regardless of what you call it, 25 Q

| | | Page 104 |
|----|--------------|--|
| 1 | | when you keep money from somebody that you know they're |
| 2 | entitled to? | |
| 3 | А | No |
| 4 | Q | Does the name really make a difference? |
| 5 | А | No, it could fall under fraud |
| 6 | Q | Fraud or bad faith? |
| 7 | A | Uh-huh, yes. |
| 8 | Q | There shouldnÆt be you can't think of a legitimate |
| 9 | | réason to defraud an insured, can you? |
| 10 | А | No. |
| 11 | Q | So when an insured is entitled to receive medical |
| 12 | | benefits, whether it's transportation or other benefits, |
| 13 | | you are supposed to tell them what they're entitled to, |
| 14 | | correct? |
| 15 | А | Correct. |
| 16 | | MS. McALLISTER: Objection to form. |
| 17 | BY M | IR. McKENNA: |
| 18 | Q | You are supposed to tell them how to get the benefit? |
| 19 | | MS. McALLISTER: Objection to form. |
| 20 | BY M | IR. McKENNA: |
| 21 | Q | Is that correct? |
| 22 | А | Yes. |
| 23 | Q | And if they've made a claim for the benefit wrongly, |
| 24 | | whether they've asked for too much or too little, you |
| 25 | | were supposed to tell them? |
| | | |

Page 105 1 Α Yes, if it's -- yes, exactly. And you were supposed to pay them what they're entitled 0 3 to regardless of how they asked, correct? Α Correct. 5 If you know that an insured has, in fact, incurred a claim or expense and you don't tell them, is it any 7 different because you've been silent about it than if 8 you actively and openly told them you're not going to 9 pay? 10 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 11 the question. It assumes that the company has a legal 12 obligation to do that. 13 THE WITNESS: Right. 14 BY MR. MCKENNA: 15 Well, you told me that you train adjusters, you were an 0 16 adjuster, you train your adjusters to inform the 17 insureds of all of the benefits they're entitled to, -1-8 correct? 19 Right, based on their injury and what their needs would Α 20 be, those are the benefits that we discuss with them. 21 Would you agree that -- well, you agreed earlier that 0 22 that's what a reasonably prudent adjuster would do, 23 correct? 24 Α Yes. 25 That's what a reasonably prudent company should do, Q

Page 106 1 correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 0 And you believe that Citizens is a reasonably prudent company? 5 Α Yes. 0 And you believe that you're a reasonably prudent claims 7 manager? 8 Α Yes. So with all those reasonably prudents in there, you Q 10 believe that a reasonably prudent company should tell an 11 insured of benefits that they're entitled to that you 12 know that they have incurred? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Whether they ask you for it or not? 15 Α Yes. 16 You should not sit back silently, cross your fingers and Q 17 hope to God they never make the claim and you won't have 18 to pay it, should you? 19 Α No. 20 MS. McALLISTER: Objection, legal 21 conclusion. 22 BY MR. McKENNA: 23 It would be unreasonable, it would be criminal to sit 24 back and not tell them what they're entitled to, 25 wouldn't it?

```
Page 107
 1
                           MS. McALLISTER:
                                             Objection --
 2
                           THE WITNESS:
                                          I don't know if I'd say
 3
           criminal, but I don't think that that would be acting in
           good faith for that customer.
 5
     BY MR. McKENNA:
 6
           Well, to withhold money from somebody that you know
 7
           they're entitled to, is that something that, as you
           understand it, is allowed under the No-Fault Statute?
     Α
           No.
10
           Is it something that's allowed under the policy?
11
     А
           No.
12
           Is it something that's allowed under a legal opinion?
13
     Α
          No.
14
          So if you got a legal opinion that told you to do that,
15
          that would be an unreasonable legal opinion, wouldn't
16
          it?
17
          Yes.
18
     0
          And you as an adjuster know that?
19
     Α
          Yes.
20
          You don't need a lawyer to tell you to act unreasonably,
21
          do you?
22
     Α
          No.
23
          So if you got a legal opinion that said to be silent
24
          with respect to claims, you know that that would be
25
          unreasonable?
```

- ¹ A Yes.
- MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of
- 3 the question.
- 4 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 5 Q Your special relationship with the insureds means that
- 6 you want them to trust you and tell you what they've
- incurred for expenses and you will tell them how to get
- 8 their money for it, correct?
- 9 MS. McALLISTER: Objection to "special
- relationship" and the form of the question.
- THE WITNESS: Right, for customer service
- we want to ensure that we advise them of the benefits on
- the policy and how they can request reimbursement for
- those benefits.
- 15 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 16 Q For customer service, customer relations you want to
- establish a relationship from the beginning of trust
- with that insured?
- 19 A Yes.
- MS. McALLISTER: Same objection.
- 21 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 22 Q You have no other reason for them to trust you other
- than the relationship you have with Citizens, correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- Q And you don't have to have them trust you, do you?

Page 109 1 Α No, we don't have to. 2 0 But you decide -- you, as a company have decided that 3 you want to create that relationship. It is a special or different relationship than you would otherwise have? 5 MS. McALLISTER: Objection to the form of 6 the question. 7 BY MR. MCKENNA: 8 Q Is that correct? 9 Д Correct. 10 So once you've established that special relationship --11 well, let me ask you this: Let's say, have you ever 12 encountered an insured and an adjuster that just 13 couldn't get along? 14 Α Yes. 15 And you try and move people around and make people Q 16 happy? 17 Α There's times, yes. 18 Sometimes if you're an adjuster or a manager you want to 0 19 move them to somebody else, maybe it's a personality 20 thing? 21 Α That could be an example, yes. 22 And no adjuster should have to be subjected to an 23 unreasonable relationship with an insured, nor should an 24 insured be subjected to an unreasonable relationship 25 with an adjuster. If it's a personality conflict, you

Page 110 1 try and fix it, right? 2 Correct. А 3 You don't have to do that by the No-Fault Statute, do you? 5 Α No. 6 You don't have to do it in the policy, do you? 7 Α No. 8 But going back to this special relationship that you 9 establish with these insureds, when they're making the 10 claims you want to, because they're going to be your 11 insured for the rest of the life of that claim, do 12 what's reasonable and do what's necessary for them, 13 correct? 14 Correct. Α 15 Now, with respect to doing what's reasonable and what's 16 necessary for them, you would want to tell them in the 17 context of a medical mileage claim how to go about 18 getting their claims paid for what they're actually 19 entitled to, correct? 20 Correct. Α 21 Now, do you have a background in accounting? 22 Α No. 23 Have you ever read an IRS statute or regulation? 0 24 Α No. 25 How is it that you could send out letters to all of your Q

| | - | Page 111 |
|----|------|---|
| 1 | | insureds and go into this form 5950 and make these |
| 2 | | changes regarding guidelines that you've never even |
| 3 | | read? |
| 4 | А | Because I'm not the one that makes the changes. |
| 5 | Q | But you're sending out this letter regarding guidelines |
| 6 | | that you've never even read. How do you know what those |
| 7 | | guidelines are? |
| 8 | А | I know as far as the rates. I have not gone into |
| 9 | | detail. I know the medical mileage and moving rate at |
| 10 | | that time was twenty cents per mile, that is as far as |
| 11 | | I've gone into any IRS material. |
| 12 | Q | I asked you the question, you've never read the IRS |
| 13 | | regulation a regulation. |
| 14 | А | No, and I thought you meant in depth as far as what |
| 15 | | makes up the guidelines and the mileage. |
| 16 | Q | I'm very clear, and I'll try and be even more clear for |
| 17 | | you. Have you ever read an IRS regulation? |
| 18 | | MS. McALLISTER: Are you including to see |
| 19 | | what the rate is in that question? |
| 20 | | MR. McKENNA: You know what, it's |
| 21 | | everything; any. It's global. |
| 22 | * | THE WITNESS: Okay. I've read their |
| 23 | | outline of the medical moving rate and mileage, yes. |
| 24 | BY N | MR. McKENNA: |
| 25 | Q | When did you read it? |

Page 112 1 Α Back in 2007. 2 And did you read the whole thing? 0 3 Α No, just the page that showed what the rate was. 4 Just the number? 0 5 A Yes. What was the other number that was in that regulation? 7 There were several numbers. Α Okay. Well, what was the number for transportation 9 expenses, the business transportation expense; do you 10 know? 11 Α At that time, no, I didn't see that rate. 1.2 Do you know why -- well, if you read the statute, if you Q 13 read the regulation you'd know why the IRS moving and 14 medical expense and charitable expense deduction is 15 lower than the business transportation expense, wouldn't 16 you? 17 A If I read that, yes. 18 And do you know why it's twenty cents at that time as 19 compared to say, fifty or fifty-eight cents for the 20 business deduction? 21 Α No. 22 You wouldn't want to cheat people, would you? 23 No. Α

Would you agree that using medical mileage in the

context of 5950 would give the impression to people

24

25

Q

Page 113 1 reading it that the IRS has a guideline or somebody has a guideline that says for medical this is all you're 3 allowed? MS. McALLISTER: Objection, causes 5 speculation for her to determine what's in somebody б else's mind. 7 THE WITNESS: No, and I wouldn't know 8 that. 9 BY MR. MCKENNA: 10 Now, with respect to the IRS guidelines, you're aware, 0 11 aren't you, that the IRS uses what's called a composite? 12 Α No. 13 You pay twenty cents a mile so people don't have to --Q 14 and when I say twenty cents, at a given time. 15 understand it's fluctuated and changed. You pay a flat 16 rate so they don't have to submit bills and gas receipts 17 and oil changes and things like that, right? 18 MS. McALLISTER: "You," being Citizens? 19 MR. McKENNA: Citizens. 20 THE WITNESS: Citizens? No, we pay the 21 baseline of that time the twenty cents per mile. 22 BY MR. McKENNA: 23 Why do you just pay the twenty cents? 0 24 I was not part of the discussion to set that rate. А 25 Oh, but you're a part of the implementation of it?

Page 114 No, other than my part in this was asking to get the Α legal opinion, which I did, I was asked what my knowledge was as far as what other carriers were paying, so that was my part in it, and then informing my team of 5 the change. 6 But you're responsible in the sense that you're telling 0 7 your people they have to use this form? 8 Yes. А 9 You're responsible in telling your people not to provide 0 the information that's included in Exhibit 3, 05953, to 10 11 the insureds when they make a medical mileage claim, 12 correct? 13 MS. McALLISTER: Object to form. 14 No, I'm not the one that THE WITNESS: 15 set these, I just communicated the forms were there and 16 this is how they use them. 17 BY MR. McKENNA: 18 I know you told me you didn't set them. Q 19 Α Okay. 20 What I'm telling you is that you're the one that's 0 21 responsible -- if you wanted this sentence right here in 22 Exhibit 3, if you have additional information, and the 23 rest of that sentence, if you wanted that to be told to 24 every adjuster to tell every insured you just have to

tell them to do it, don't you?

25

| | | Page 115 |
|----|-------|---|
| 1 | A | No. I need to run that by our corporate office. |
| 2 | Q | Why would you need to run it by well, you want to run |
| 3 | | it by corporate office to get it, what, through legal? |
| 4 | A | Yes. All of our letters are reviewed through legal. I |
| 5 | | can't make those changes. |
| 6 | Q | You know that an insured is entitled under the statute |
| 7 | | and under the policy to the information contained in |
| 8 | | this sentence in Exhibit 3, document 05953, if you have |
| 9 | | additional information to support a greater cost |
| 10 | | associated with your medical mileage, please submit |
| 11 | | proofs. You know that they're entitled to that, don't |
| 12 | | you? |
| 13 | | MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of |
| 14 | | the question. |
| 15 | | THE WITNESS: They're entitled for us to |
| 16 | | explain what we're paying for the benefit, which is the |
| 17 | | rate. |
| 18 | BY ME | R. McKENNA: |
| 19 | Q | No, you know that they're entitled to the information |
| 20 | | about how to make a mileage claim? |
| 21 | | MS. McALLISTER: Objection, asked and |
| 22 | | answered. |
| 23 | | THE WITNESS: Which is this is how they |
| 24 | | do it in here. If there is a concern or a question |
| 25 | | raised, then we certainly need to inform them of this, |

Page 116 1 yes. 2 BY MR. MCKENNA: 3 So if they're stupid, if they're ignorant, if they don't know any better they're going to get twenty cents a mile, but if they have the God given intelligence to 6 read it and object and complain you're going to tell them it's a baseline and a starting point and give us 8 additional information and we might pay you more? 9 MS. McALLISTER: Objection to the form of 10 the question. It's argumentative and it is inconsistent 11 with her testimony. She's answered your base question 12 without all the arguments multiple times. 13 BY MR. MCKENNA: 14 Go ahead, please. 15 Yes, if there's a question or a concern raised of what Α 16 they're being reimbursed, then we certainly allow them 17 to submit additional information. No, this is not in 18 our standard form letter that goes out on every claim. 19 Exhibit Number 4 and 5 is from Yvonne Rodgers to 0 20 Patricia Karen Malone, and this is the one that we had 21 talked about, Karen, once you approve I will share with 22 Terry and Lee. 23 At the bottom of this, yes, was on the other exhibit you Ά 24 showed me which was separate, yeah. Right here. 25 looks like the bottom of 05954, the bottom part of that

- is in 05952.
- 2 Q Right. But I mean they basically say the same thing,
- these documents?
- 4 A Right. Correct.
- 5 Q Except that in Exhibit 4 from Patricia Karen Malone it
- says, I removed, quote, greater cost, unquote, and left
- it more generic.
- ⁸ A Yes, that's what it says.
- 9 Q So when it says if you have greater -- what she's
- referring to is a statement to the insureds, if you have
- costs greater than the twenty cents, the words greater
- costs were not included in this final form?
- 13 A I would be speculating because I didn't see the initial
- draft of her letter.
- 15 Q Yeah, but you have it.
- 16 A No, that's a letter we have in place on our shared
- drive, so I don't know if this is the same letter.
- 18 Q I'm sorry. If we go to 05952 --
- ¹⁹ A Okay.
- Q -- it says, Karen, attached is a draft of the medical
- letter.
- 22 A Okay.
- Q And this was represented -- Exhibit 3 was represented to
- me to be that letter --
- 25 A -- as attached.

- 1 Q -- it was attached.
- 2 A Okay.
- 3 Q Now, Exhibit 4 and 5 are talking about this is from
- Karen Malone, I removed, quote, greater costs, unquote,
- 5 and left it more generic.
- MS. McALLISTER: It was not, in fact,
- 7 removed.
- MR. McKENNA: That's what I'm getting at.
- 9 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 10 Q On Exhibit 3, 05953, it says if you have additional
- information to support a greater cost, this is undated.
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Exhibit 3 is undated, so we don't know when that
- happened.
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q But Exhibit 4 is dated May 29th and it's saying that
- greater cost was removed. Are you aware of there being
- a document that is used that has the words greater cost
- removed?
- 20 A To my knowledge, no.
- 21 Q To your knowledge, Exhibit 3 is the one that's being
- used through today's date?
- 23 A That is -- yes.
- 24 Q All right. Who is Terry?
- 25 A I don't know.

Page 119 1 0 Who is Lee? 2 A I don't know who that is. 3 Q I asked you how this document thing got created and you talked about Karen Malone. 5 А Uh-huh. 6 0 And then submitted up to corporate. Now, this is from 7 Karen saying to -- I'm sorry, from Yvonne saying to Karen, how does this look, or whatever it is, and then this appears to be Karen Malone responding? 10 Α Karen Malone is writing this part, the how does this 11 look. 12 The how does this look? 0 13 А Correct. 14 So she's asking someone who works under her how it 15 looks, correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 And then it says that -- down here, from Yvonne to 18 Karen, attached is a draft. So it appears that Karen 19 was approving what Yvonne wrote? 20 Α Yes, that's how it appears. 21 0 Once you approve I will share with Terry and Lee. 22 did Karen Malone have the authority to approve Exhibit 3 23 on her own? 24 MS. McALLISTER: Objection to foundation. 25 THE WITNESS: I have no knowledge.

- 1 BY MR. MCKENNA:
- Q Well, there's nothing in Exhibit 4 or 5 that says legal
- is involved, is there?
- 4 A No, there's nothing in here that says that.
- 5 Q And we don't know who Terry and Lee are; you don't know
- 6 who they are?
- 7 A No, I don't.
- 8 Q This document, 05851, is the letter from Mr. Borin. Do
- you have a copy of that available?
- MS. McALLISTER: I don't think I brought
- that. It might be out in the car.
- 12 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 13 Q Then I'll put that in front of you, if you don't mind.
- We'll share.
- 15 A Sure.
- 16 Q Exhibit Number 2 is an e-mail apparently from you and it
- says it's dated April 17th, 2007?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q The reply -- I'm sorry, if you can move that.
- 20 A Sure.
- 21 Q -- appears to be April 30, 2007 from Mr. Borin?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q And for the record, Mr. Borin's reply is 05851 through,
- I believe it's 853.
- MS. McALLISTER: No, there's attachments.

| | | Page 121 |
|----|------|--|
| 1 | | MR. McKENNA: I'm just talking about the |
| 2 | | letter. |
| 3 | | MS. McALLISTER: The letter had |
| 4 | | attachments through the end of this. |
| 5 | | MR. McKENNA: I'm only referring to the |
| 6 | | actual letter. The three page letter. I'm not saying |
| 7 | | the attachments are three pages, just the letter is |
| 8 | | three pages. Those are the numbers for those three |
| 9 | | pages. |
| 10 | BY N | MR. McKENNA: |
| 11 | Q | Now, your e-mail says, Hi, Jim. Do you know him? |
| 12 | А | Yes. |
| 13 | Q | Citizens would like to have you complete a legal opinion |
| 14 | | regarding mileage. Again, this is four months after |
| 15 | | you've already made a decision regarding mileage and |
| 16 | | what you're going to pay, correct? |
| 17 | | MS. McALLISTER: "You," being Citizens? |
| 18 | | MR. McKENNA: Pardon me? |
| 19 | | MS. McALLISTER: "You," being Citizens? |
| 20 | BY M | MR. MCKENNA: |
| 21 | Q | "You" being Citizens. |
| 22 | A | Citizens, yes, correct. |
| 23 | Q | So Citizens is asking for a legal opinion regarding |
| 24 | | mileage which is a legal opinion regarding the mileage |
| 25 | | change that you've already done, correct? |
| | | |

Page 122 Correct. Α So four months later you want a legal opinion to support 3 your decision? Citizens did, yes. А Well, you work for them. 5 0 Yes, uh-huh. 6 Α But that's what you were telling him, I need a legal 7 opinion to support what Citizens has done? Α Yes. That's not reasonable under the No-Fault Act and the 10 policy, is it, to do something -- to get information to 11 support a decision after the fact? 12 I don't know why they asked me to get this, so --13 I didn't ask you why. It's not reasonable to do 14 something after the fact as the basis for support for 15 the decision under the No-Fault Act and the policy, is 16 17 i+?MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 18 the question, and I'm further objecting that we did this 19 line of questioning well over an hour ago. 20 BY MR. MCKENNA: 21 Is it? 22 0 Again, I don't know how it was utilized, so I would be 23 Α 24 speculating. You didn't have this document --25 0

```
Page 123
 1
     Α
          No, we did not have this document at the time.
     0
          -- when you implemented the policy?
 3
     Α
          Correct.
 4
          What we talked about earlier is you personally had no
 5
          knowledge under the No-Fault Act that would support that
 6
          policy, you just did what you were told? No legal
          opinion to support that policy that you were aware of in
 8
          January, correct?
                          MS. McALLISTER:
                                            You said several things.
10
          You are right that she said that she didn't know the
11
          legal basis.
12
                          MR. McKENNA: That's what I'm getting at.
13
     BY MR. MCKENNA:
          You didn't know --
     Q
15
     А
          Correct.
16
          -- of a legal basis to support the policy change in
17
          January, correct?
18
     А
          Correct.
19
          And you didn't know of one in April?
     Q
20
          Correct.
     Α
21
          But you were telling your people to apply a policy that
22
          you didn't know of a legal foundation for?
23
                                            Object to the form of
                          MS. McALLISTER:
24
          the question.
25
     ///
```

- 1 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 2 O Is that correct?
- 3 A I couldn't tell them as far as why or how the rate was
- 4 changed, no.
- 5 Q You could only tell them to do --
- 6 A Tell them that it had changed, correct.
- 7 Q Yours is not to ask how or why, just to do?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And that's what you told them?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q So they were trusting you?
- 12 A Correct.
- O Because they have to do what you tell them?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 O And they were implementing a policy that you, as the
- boss, as the supervisor, had no legal basis for the
- implementation of; is that correct?
- MS. McALLISTER: Again, object to the
- form of the question. She didn't say there was no legal
- 20 basis, she said that she didn't know it.
- 21 BY MR. McKENNA:
- 22 O You didn't know of any, correct?
- 23 A No, correct.
- 24 Q Now, somebody above you asked you to send out this memo?
- 25 A Yes.

Page 125 1 Q Who is that? Α Yvonne Rogers. 3 And Yvonne Rogers is somebody who was involved in the implementation of this? 5 А Yes. 6 Q So did Yvonne Rogers tell you, I have a legal basis, or 7 did she tell you, go find one for us? Α No, she just requested could I reach out to Jim and ask for a legal opinion as it pertained to medical mileage 10 and transportation. 11 And shouldn't that have been done before we have this 12 change in policy? 13 MS. McALLISTER: Objection, hypothetical. 14 Speculation as to whether it was done before or not. 15 MR. McKENNA: Well, no, there's no 16 hypothetical supposition about it. 17 THE WITNESS: His specific --18 BY MR. MCKENNA: 19 It was done after the fact. 0 20 His legal opinion was done after the fact, yes. Α You're not aware of any other legal opinion done before 21 22 it was changed? 23 No, I'm not aware of any. 24 And it wouldn't take much to give that information to

you from Citizens, would it?

25

- 1 A If I requested it.
- Q Well, if they had it they could send it to you?
- 3 A Right, if I requested it.
- 4 Q And you never did?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q When they asked you to get this one did you ask them if
- 7 they had already obtained one?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q So Citizens would like a legal opinion specifically
- around the fact in the No-Fault Act, No-Fault Statute
- does not mandate rate and/or guidelines that must be
- used when establishing rates, correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Then it says, you can either e-mail me back or mail it
- to my attention, right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Then you got this letter, this three page letter from
- Mr. Borin dated April 30, 2007, and it talks about your
- request for my opinion, and it goes through some case
- law, okay, and it says that in conclusion, on the second
- page going on to the third page, it is uncontested that
- a No-Fault insurer must pay the reasonable charge
- incurred for mileage related to obtaining the medical
- care.
- 25 A That's correct.

Page 127 1 That's pretty straight forward, isn't it? 0 2 А Yes. You already knew that? Α Yes. 5 The amount of the reimbursement which is not established 6 by the No-Fault Act must be reasonable. We already knew 7 that too, didn't we? 8 А Yes. 9 In viewing the limited guidelines established by the 0 10 attorney general opinion and some appellate decisions 11 that he mentioned there are three considerations. 12 first, the Workers Comp. fee schedule, you guys didn't 13 take that advice; you didn't use that, did you? 14 Α Not to my knowledge. So we'll pass that one and we'll go to number two on 15 Q 16 page three, 05853. That a No-Fault insurer may 17 establish an arbitrary rate of reimbursement. Are you aware of there being the word arbitrary anywhere in the 18 19 No-Fault policy for Citizens? 20 Α Not to my knowledge. 21 Are you aware of there being the word arbitrary anywhere 0 22 in the No-Fault Statute? 23 I don't recall there being any. А The word that's used is reasonable, correct? 24 0 25 Α Correct.

Page 128 So you didn't follow setting up something arbitrary 1 then, did you? 2 MS. McALLISTER: I object to what he's meaning as arbitrary. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know. MR. McKENNA: That's a good point. BY MR. McKENNA: Arbitrary is one of those words that can be vague, 8 0 9 right? Yes, correct. 10 Α In fact, that's part of the definition of arbitrary, 11 0 isn't it, that it's vague and of no substance. 12 just arbitrary, right? 13 I don't know the exact definition, but, yes, it can be 14 Α 15 vaque, right. Let me ask you this: From your standpoint as a PIP 16 manager, unit manager, or adjuster, you were never 17 taught nor have you taught anyone that they can 18 arbitrarily pay benefits? 19 Correct. 20 Α Because paying benefits in an arbitrary manner would be 21 0 unreasonable. 22 MS. McALLISTER: Objection, lack of 23 foundation. 24 THE WITNESS: Correct -- I mean, yeah. Ι 25

Page 129 1 mean, we don't --2 BY MR. MCKENNA: 3 Paying benefits in an arbitrary manner would be unreasonable, correct? MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 5 6 the question in terms of how you're defining arbitrary. 7 I'm not defining it. MR. McKENNA: We've 8 defined it in the sense that to be arbitrary would be to 9 be without substance, to be vague or having an ambiguity 10 to it. 11 BY MR. McKENNA: 12 0 Earlier, if you recall, I asked you the question would 13 it be reasonable for an insured to expect that all 14 things being considered, one adjuster to another, 15 they're going to get paid the same amount for the same 16 claim, right? If they're equal, yes. 17 Α 18 0 Right. 19 Α Yes. 20 So to be arbitrary would be to not pay the same amount, that's one part of a definition of it? 21 22 That's one part, correct. Α But what you've decided is to pay everybody the same 23 rate regardless of what car they're driving, regardless 24 25 of a lot of things, just pay them one rate?

- A Right, for medical mileage that would be our baseline,
- ² correct.
- ³ Q Is that arbitrary to you?
- A If we're setting a baseline? As a company that would be
- 5 -- I guess you could interpret it's an arbitrary
- baseline rate that we're paying.
- 7 Q I'm not trying to interpret. I mean, you've got a
- 8 college education, you had English class, literature
- 9 class, you know about words, right?
- 10 A Well, to me, I would word it as reasonable. We're
- setting a reasonable baseline for medical mileage.
- Q Well, this one, number two, uses the word arbitrary.
- 13 A But that's Jim Borin's word.
- 14 Q I understand. I don't need you to point that out. I
- said, this one -- I didn't say you.
- 16 A Right.
- 17 Q This one says arbitrary.
- 18 A That's what is says.
- 19 Q Your understanding of the No-Fault Act would mean to set
- an arbitrary rate wouldn't be reasonable, because
- arbitrary wouldn't be the same, it wouldn't be
- consistent, it wouldn't be based on what someone has
- actually incurred as an expense, wouldn't it?
- 24 A I don't think that's a term I would use, would be the
- arbitrary rate, no.

Page 131 1 But, I mean, if you were to write this you wouldn't say, 0 we're going to establish an arbitrary rate? 3 Α No, I would say we're going to establish a reasonable rate. So apparently arbitrary in number two for Mr. Borin was 5 0 6 also passed over, right? 7 I don't know. I did not utilize this opinion, so this A. 8 was forwarded to my --9 Oh, it went to somebody else? 0 10 When this came in to me, all I did was give this to my Α 11 supervisor. 12 Fair enough. But did you read it? 0 13 Yes, long ago. Yes. Α All right. So by being arbitrary it would be -- well, 14 15 let's see what it does say. I think he defines it, 16 doesn't he? 17 Presumably pay on a uniform basis to all 18 claimants, right? 19 Α Yes. 20 But which is subject to reconsideration if the claimant 21 can establish greater incurred expense. Actually, it 22 looks like that's the one you guys did choose. 23 That could be, yes. Α 24 Well, it is. Arbitrary rate of reimbursement pays on a 25 uniform basis. You're paying on a uniform basis, aren't

Page 132 you? MS. McALLISTER: Well, that's a different 3 question than whether they're being arbitrary. BY MR. MCKENNA: 5 You're paying on a uniform basis, aren't you? 6 Α Yes. 7 And this says that a No-Fault insurer may establish an 8 arbitrary rate of reimbursement which is presumably pays on a uniform basis. 10 Α Correct, that's what it says. 11 Isn't that -- that's what you've done? 12 MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of 13 the question. It assumes it was arbitrary. 14 BY MR. McKENNA: 15 But this is what you've done. 16 MS. McALLISTER: She's disagreed with 17 that. 18 MR. McKENNA: I don't think she's 19 disagreed at all. 20 THE WITNESS: I stated that we've based 21 it on a reasonable baseline, or you could say the 22 uniform, whatever you want to use. 23 BY MR. MCKENNA: 24 So you're saying it's not arbitrary? 25 Α In my mind and my interpretation, no.

Page 133 But it is a uniform basis to all its claimants? 1 0 Α For medical mileage, yes. 3 That's all we're talking about. O. Α Yep. That's what all this is talking about too, right? 5 Yes, exactly. А 7 On the same page; pun intended. Okay. Α But which is subject to reconsideration if the claimant can establish greater incurred expense. 10 Correct, that's what it says. 11 Α Now, that's the same sentence we talked about earlier, 12 0 sort of, that was on Exhibit 3, 05953, but wasn't 13 14 included in 05950. 15 That's correct. Α We already talked about the fact that you have knowledge 16 and information about how to make a claim and your 17 insureds don't have it, you're supposed to give that to 18 them to be a reasonably prudent company? 19 MS. McALLISTER: Objection, I don't agree 20 with the characterization of the testimony, and you're 21 rehashing ground that you've already asked, at least 22 several times, Mr. McKenna. 23 MR. McKENNA: Foundational; I don't want 24 25 to hear you object.

- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 2 BY MR. McKENNA:
- Now, when we get to Number 3, while not expressly
- stated, it would be legally appropriately -- there's got
- 5 to be a typo in there somewhere, and I'm assuming it
- 6 would be, it would be legally appropriate for the No-
- Fault insurer to rely upon the submissions of a claimant
- before determining the appropriate rate.
- ⁹ A Yes.
- 10 Q You don't have in your form letter, send us your proofs
- for your mileage and then we'll determine a rate?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q That would be like inviting them to negotiate, wouldnÆt
- 14 it?
- MS. McALLISTER: Object to the form of
- the question.
- THE WITNESS: No, it would -- right, we
- would have them send in -- right, that's what that was
- saying, is if they chose that rate.
- 20 BY MR. MCKENNA:
- 21 Q I'm trying to put it in layman's terms. That would be
- like inviting a negotiation?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q Kind of like, did you ever have a car stolen or damaged
- and you make a claim and you argue over how much was it

| | | Page 135 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | | worth? |
| 2 | A | I haven't personally, no. |
| 3 | Q | I've unfortunately had that problem, and you're arguing |
| 4 | | with them; no, no, I put new tires on it, it's worth |
| 5 | | more. It's got a great engine, changed the oil every |
| 6 | | three thousand miles. You're negotiating. This, Number |
| 7 | | 3, is asking you to kind of do that with the insureds, |
| 8 | | and you guys didn't want to do that, did you? |
| 9 | A | No, we didn't do that. No, we did not do that. |
| 10 | Q | In other words, there is no legal responsibility imposed |
| 11 | | on the No-Fault insurer to establish a rate, but you |
| 12 | | decided to do it anyway? |
| 13 | A | Yes. |
| 14 | Q | So you have, in fact, established a rate that when |
| 15 | | asking about it the lawyer said you don't have to, so |
| 16 | | your company decided to establish the IRS guideline as a |
| 17 | | rate? |
| 18 | A | Yes. |
| 19 | | MR. McKENNA: Why don't we stop there |
| 20 | | because they're going to kick us out of here and we'll |
| 21 | | reschedule this to finish it. |
| 22 | | VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Going off the record. |
| 23 | | The time is 4:53:10 p.m. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | * * * * |
| | | |

| · | Page 136 |
|----|------------------------------|
| 1 | |
| | (Whereupon the deposition of |
| 2 | AMY LUNA was concluded |
| 3 | about 4:53 p.m.) |
| 4 | |
| 5 | * * * * |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

CERTIFICATION PAGE

STATE OF MICHIGAN)
COUNTY OF MACOMB)

I CERTIFY that this transcript, consisting of 136 pages, is a complete, true and correct record of the testimony of AMY LUNA, held in this case on Monday, June 1, 2009.

I ALSO CERTIFY that prior to taking this deposition AMY LUNA was duly sworn to tell the truth.

I ALSO CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of or an attorney for a party; or a relative or employee of an attorney for a party; or financially interested in the action.

LORI GRANT, CSMR 4686 63335 Berwick Court Washington Township, Michigan 48095 586-752-4614

Dated: June 3, 2009

EXHIBIT #1-A
WIT: LUNG
DATE: 06/1/09
LORI GRANT

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF MACOMB

KARLA ONDRUS as the Guardian and Conservator for ANDY ONDRUS, a protected Person, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs

Case No. 08-004408-NF Hon: Peter J. Maceroni

CITIZENS INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

JAMES McKENNA (P41587) Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 24825 Little Mack St. Clair Shores, MI 48080 (586) 779-7810

PAUL A. ZEBROWSKI (P44427) THOMAS A. BISCUP (P40380) Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 45581 Village Blvd Shelby Township, MI 48315 (586) 566-7266 ROBERT S. HUTH, JR. (P42531) Co-Counsel for Defendant 19500 Hall Road, Suite 100 Clinton Township, MI 48038 (586) 412-4900

LORI MCALLISTER (P39501) Co-Counsel for Defendant 201 Townsend Street, Suite 900 Lansing, MI 48933 (517) 374-9150

NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION DUCES TECUM OF AMY LUNA

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 1, 2009 commencing at 1:30 p.m. at the Macomb County Bar Association, 40 North Main Street, Suite 435, Mount Clemens, MI 48043, Plaintiff will take the deposition of **Amy Luna** pursuant to MCR 2.306. The deposition(s) shall be taken upon oral examination by the Plaintiff before a Notary Public in accordance with the Michigan Court Rules of 1985.

The deponent is requested to bring to the deposition the following items:

- 1. The entire original PIP/Claim file for Andy Ondrus.
- 2. All documents reflecting or related to Defendant's decision to use or adopt the IRS medical and moving rate as the rate Defendant will or does pay for medical mileage benefits under the no-fault act.
- 3. All documents supporting or related to Defendant's determination that the IRS medical and moving rate is a reasonable charge for "medical mileage" benefits under the no-fault act.
- 4. All documents identifying or reflecting all individuals involved in making or approving the decision to use or adopt the IRS medical and moving rate as the rate Defendant will or does pay for medical mileage benefits under the no-fault act.
- 5. All documents supporting or demonstrating that the IRS business standard mileage rate is not a reasonable charge for "medical mileage" benefits under the no-fault act.
- 6. All communications to policyholders or covered individuals regarding Defendant's decision to use or adopt the IRS medical and moving rate as the rate Defendant will or does pay for medical mileage benefits under the nofault act.
- 7. All communications to policyholders or covered individuals informing them that the IRS business standard mileage rate is a reasonable charge for "medical mileage" benefits under the no-fault act.
- 8. All documents, listing or identifying all individuals who are insureds of Citizens in Michigan or otherwise covered by Citizens for first party no-fault benefits, and for whom Citizens has paid and continues to pay medical mileage for automobile related injuries, at a rate in accordance with Citizens' policy of adopting the IRS medical and moving mileage rate.
- 9. Any and all surveys conducted by Defendant regarding rates paid for medical mileage.

You are invited to attend and cross-examine the Deponent.

Respectfully submitted,

James McKenna (P41587) Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 24825 Little Mack St. Clair Shores, MI 48080

(586) 779-7810

Paul Zebrowski (P44427)

Thomas A. Biscup (P40380)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

45581 Village Blvd.

Shelby Twp., MI 48315

(586) 566-7266

Dated:

May 27, 2009

EXHIBIT # 1-B WIT: LUNA DATE: 06/1/09 LORI GRANT

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF MACOMB

KARLA ONDRUS as the Guardian and Conservator for ANDY ONDRUS, a protected Person, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Case No. 08-00408-NF

Hon. Peter J. Maceroni

Plaintiff,

VS.

CITIZENS INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

JAMES McKENNA (P41587)

Co-Counsel for Plaintiff

24825 Little Mack

St. Clair Shores, MI 48080

586-779-7810

PAUL A. ZEBROWSKI (P44427)

THOMAS A. BISCUP (P40380)

Co-Counsel for Plaintiff

45581 Village Blvd.

Shelby Township, MI 48315

586-566-7266

LORI MCALLISTER (P39501)

Dykema Gossett PLLC

Co-Counsel for Defendant

201 Townsend Street, Suite 900

Lansing, MI 48933

517-374-9150

ROBERT S. HUTH, JR. (P42531)

Kirk and Huth P.C.

Co-Counsel for Defendant

19500 Hall Road Suite 100

Clinton Township Mi. 48038

586-412-4900

CROSS-NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF AMY LUNA BY VISUAL ELECTRONIC RECORD

Defendant, through its counsel, hereby gives notice that the deposition of Amy Luna noticed by Plaintiff for June 1, 2009 at the offices of the Macomb County Bar Association, 40 N. Main Street, Suite 435, Mt. Clemens, MI, 48043, shall be visually recorded by electronic means pursuant to MCR 2.315.

RECEIVED A PARTIE

Dated: May 28, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Dykema Gossett PLLC

Lori McAllister (P39501)

Co-Counsel for Defendant 201 Townsend St., Suite 900

Lansing, MI 48933

(517) 374-9150

LAN01\203022.1 ID\LM\$1-010767/0043 New Jile

th Bezenah - Request for Legal Opinion

Page 1

Froma

"AMY LUNA" <ALUNA@HANOVER.COM>

To:

"James Borin" <jborin@garanlucow.com>

Date:

4/17/07 1:57PM

2486410222

Subject:

Request for Legal Opinion

Hi Jim ·

Citizens would like to have you complete a Legal Opinion regarding mileage - specifically around the fact that the MI No-Fault statute does not mandate rate and/or guidelines that must be used when establishing rates.

You can either small this back to me and/or mail to my attention at

808:N Highlander Way Howell, MI 48844

Thank you

Amy Luna PIP Unit Menager The Hanover Group aluna@hanover.com 808 N Highlander Way Howell, MI 48844 1-800-628-0250 extension 4928 FAX 508-635-0888



PAGE

Date:

Re: Medical Mileage

To: Whom It May Concern:



The Michigan No-Fault Statute provides that you are to be reimbursed for eligible medical mileage. Effective January 01, 2007, utilizing the 2007 guidelines for determining rate of medical mileage, Citizens Insurance Company will reimburse medical mileage at \$0.20 per mile.

If you have additional information to support a greater cost associated with your medical mileage, please submit proofs for further consideration.

Sincerely,

From: Sent:

YVONNE L. ROGERS [YROGERS@HANOVER.COM] Tuesday, May 29, 2007 1:23 PM

PATRICIA KAREN MALONE

To: Subject:

Re: Medical Mileage



Ok ... I just utilized the verbiage you suggested in our conference call. I will role it out to the staff.

Yvonne Rogers PIP Claim Manager 517-540-3213 YRogers@Hanover.com

This e-mail, including attachments, is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, use, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me via return e-mail and permanently delete the original and destroy all copies.

>>> PATRICIA KAREN MALONE 05/29/07 9:27 AM >>>

How does this look. I removed "greater costs" and left it more generic...

Karen Malone

Ph: 508-855-7914 Cell: 508-450-2862 Fax: 508-856-9370

This email, including attachments, is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, use, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me via return e-mail and permanently delete the original and destroy all copies.

>>> YVONNE L. ROGERS 05/25/07 11:59 AM >>> Karen, attached is a draft of the medical mileage letter with the language we discussed in our telephone conference. Once you approve, I will share with Teri and Lee.

Yvonne Rogers PIP Claim Manager 517-540-3213

YRogers@Hanover.com

This e-mail, including attachments, is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, use, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received

this e-mail in error, please notify me via return e-mail and permanently delete the original and destroy all copies.

EXHIBIT #5
WIT: LVVa
DATE: C//D^C/
LORI GRANT

From: Sent: YVONNE L. ROGERS [YROGERS@HANOVER.COM]

Tuesday, May 29, 2007 1:23 PM PATRICIA KAREN MALONE

To:
Subject:

Re: Medical Mileage



Ok ... I just utilized the verbiage you suggested in our conference call. I will role it out to the staff.

Yvonne Rogers PIP Claim Manager 517-540-3213 YRogers@Hanover.com

This e-mail, including attachments, is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, use, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me via return e-mail and permanently delete the original and destroy all copies.

>>> PATRICIA KAREN MALONE 05/29/07 9:27 AM >>>

How does this look. I removed "greater costs" and left it more generic...

Karen Malone Ph: 508-855-7914 Cell: 508-450-2862 Fax: 508-856-9370

This email, including attachments, is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, use, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify me via return e-mail and permanently delete the original and destroy all copies.

>>> YVONNE L. ROGERS 05/25/07 11:59 AM >>>

Karen, attached is a draft of the medical mileage letter with the language we discussed in our telephone conference. Once you approve, I will share with Teri and Lee.

Yvonne Rogers PIP Claim Manager 517-540-3213 YRogers@Hanover.com

This e-mail, including attachments, is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain proprietary, confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, use, distribution or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received

this e-mail in error, please notify me via return e-mail and permanently delete the original and destroy all copies.

EXHIBIT #5
WIT: LUNA
DATE: CHOCH
LORI GRANT